arXiv:1210.4302v2 [math.AT] 9 Nov 2012

Presheaves of symmetric tensor categories
and
nets of C*-algebras

Ezio Vasselli
Dipartimento di Matematica University of Rome La Sapienza
ezio.vasselli@gmail.com

November 12, 2012

Abstract

Motivated by algebraic quantum field theory, we study presheaves of symmetric tensor categories defined on the base of a space, intended as a spacetime. Any section of a presheaf (that is, any "superselection sector", in the applications that we have in mind) defines a holonomy representation whose triviality is measured by Cheeger-Chern-Simons characteristic classes, and a non-abelian unitary cocycle defining a Lie group gerbe. We show that, provided an embedding in a presheaf of full subcategories of the one of Hilbert spaces, the section category of a presheaf is a Tannaka-type dual of a locally constant group bundle (the "gauge group"), which may not exist and in general is not unique. This leads to the notion of gerbe of C^*-algebras, defined on the given base.

Contents

1 Introduction. 2

2 Notation and background. 3

3 Nets and presheaves over posets. 6

4 Nets of C^*-algebras and group duals. 14

5 Symmetric tensor presheaves: invariants and Tannaka duality. 22

6 The gerbe perspective. 27

A Appendix: Locally constant bundles. 28

1 Introduction.

Let M denote a spacetime and Δ a base for its topology. In algebraic quantum field theory the basic object is a C^*-precosheaf $\mathfrak{A}$ on Δ, that is a family of C^*-algebras $\{A_o\}_{o \in \Delta}$ with *-monomorphisms

$$\jmath_{o'o} : A_o \to A_{o'} \quad : \quad \jmath_{o''o'} \circ \jmath_{o'o} = \jmath_{o''o} \ , \quad \forall o \subseteq o' \subseteq o'' \in \Delta \ , \tag{1.1}$$

where each *fibre* A_o, $o \in \Delta$, is interpreted as the algebra of quantum observables localized in o. This is what is usually called the *observable net*.

In the case of Minkowski spacetime Δ is a directed *poset* (partially ordered set) when ordered under inclusion, so $\mathfrak{A}$ is really a net and we can define the inductive limit $\vec{A} := \lim_{o \subseteq o'} (A_o, \jmath_{o'o})$. This is an important property at the mathematical level, because it implies that the set of *sectors* (the physically relevant Hilbert space representations of $\mathfrak{A}$) can be realized as a semigroup T of *-endomorphisms of $\vec{A}$ defining a simple, symmetric tensor category. This suffices to reconstruct the C^*-algebra of quantum fields, as a C^*-crossed product

$$F := \vec{A} \rtimes T \ , \tag{1.2}$$

and the gauge group G, realized as the group of automorphisms of F leaving $\vec{A}$ pointwise fixed. As a further step, a net $\mathfrak{F}$ of C^*-subalgebras of F is constructed and interpreted as the net of (not necessarily observable) quantum fields. The pair $(\mathfrak{F}, G)$ is unique up to a suitable equivalence and T is characterized as the category of unitary representations of G ([8, 9]).

Now, in conformal theory and general relativity we have spacetimes whose bases are not directed under inclusion, and, even if $\vec{A}$ can be replaced by Fredenhagen's universal algebra $\widetilde{A}$ (see [10]), this last C^*-algebra does not contain the informations necessary to describe the recently discovered sectors affected by the topology of M ([1]). Moreover, at the mathematical level it is not ensured that a precosheaf of C^*-algebras can be faithfully represented on a fixed Hilbert space ([23, Ex.5.8, Ex.A.9]). This implies that $\widetilde{A}$ may be trivial, and suggests to consider a crossed product constructed directly on the precosheaf rather than on the universal C^*-algebra.

The analogue of T now carries an additional structure, the one of a precosheaf $\mathfrak{T}$ of tensor categories (see Ex.3.6 or [19, §27]). $\mathfrak{T}$ does not contain all the relevant physical informations (see [1, §5.1]), neverthless it yields the basic tool to construct the tensor structure, given by suitable *-endomorphisms of the fibres A_o, $o \in \Delta$. But, as we shall see in a forthcoming paper ([25]), we can also define a *presheaf* $\mathfrak{S}$ of tensor categories, from which we reconstruct the set of sectors [1] as the category of sections of $\mathfrak{S}$. This is the motivation of our interest for a Tannaka duality for categories of sections of such presheaves: the dual object is a precosheaf of compact groups $\mathfrak{G}$, acting on precosheaves of finite-dimensional Hilbert spaces [1] (Theorem 5.6). Along this way, we prove that any section ϱ of $\mathfrak{S}$ defines a compact Lie group $G_\varrho \subseteq \mathbb{U}(d)$, $d \in \mathbb{N}$, and a holonomy representation

$$\chi_\varrho : \pi_1(M) \to NG_\varrho / G_\varrho \tag{1.3}$$

(where $NG_\varrho \subseteq \mathbb{U}(d)$ is the normalizer of G_ϱ), which is a complete invariant of the presheaf defined by tensor powers of ϱ (Theorem 5.3). We interpret the χ_ϱ's as flat principal NG_ϱ / G_ϱ-bundles and assign to them Cheeger-Chern-Simons classes living in the odd cohomology of M (see (5.9)).

---

[1] Passing to the dual poset Δ' we can regard presheaves on Δ as precosheaves on Δ' and vice versa, so our results apply to precosheaves of symmetric tensor categories; the formulation in terms of presheaves is used for coherence of terminology with the applications in algebraic quantum field theory.

We point out that our duality is a *Tannaka* duality, based on the use of an embedding $I : \mathfrak{G} \to \mathfrak{C}$, where $\mathfrak{C}$ is a presheaf of full subcategories of the one of Hilbert spaces. Existence and unicity of I and, consequently, of $\mathfrak{G}$ can be expressed in terms of lifts

$$\widetilde{\chi}_\varrho : \pi_1(M) \to NG_\varrho \ \ , \ \ \chi_\varrho = \widetilde{\chi}_\varrho \, \mathrm{mod} G_\varrho$$

(see Cor.5.7), and therefore are not ensured. This situation is analogous to the one of [24], where similar results are proven for bundles of symmetric tensor C^*-categories, neverthless motivations, techniques and results drastically diverge, in essence because now our scenario is the "geometry of posets" of [21]. As we shall see in a future paper, existence and unicity of the dual object can be restored if we consider *gerbes over posets* in the sense of §6: these are families of group isomorphisms fulfilling the precosheaf relations only up to inner automorphisms, and appear associated to sections of $\mathfrak{S}$ (see Theorem 5.5 and (6.2)).

This situation can be illustrated in C^*-algebraic terms by considering a class of "universal models" of (1.2), given by the representation of the Cuntz algebra $\mathcal{O}_d$, $d \in \mathbb{N}$ ([4]), as the crossed product

$$\mathcal{O}_d = \mathcal{O}_G \rtimes \widehat{\rho} \ ,$$

where $\mathcal{O}_G \subset \mathcal{O}_d$ is the fixed-point algebra under the action of a compact Lie group $G \subseteq \mathbb{U}(d)$ and $\widehat{\rho}$ is the semigroup generated by the canonical endomorphism $\rho \in \mathbf{end}\mathcal{O}_G$, carrying the structure of a symmetric tensor category (see [6, 9]). We give a classification of C^*-*net bundles* [2] $\mathfrak{A}$ with fibre $\mathcal{O}_G$ in terms of holonomy representations $\chi : \pi_1(M) \to NG/G$, where $NG \subseteq \mathbb{U}(d)$ is the normalizer (Theorem 4.5). Any $\mathfrak{A}$ defines a pre(co)sheaf of symmetric tensor categories $\mathfrak{S}$ with fibre $\widehat{\rho}$ (Cor.4.3), and we show that solutions of the problem of constructing a C^*-net bundle $\mathfrak{F}$ with fibre $\mathcal{O}_d$, playing the role of a crossed product

$$\mathfrak{F} = \mathfrak{A} \rtimes \mathfrak{S} \ , \tag{1.4}$$

are in one-to-one correspondence with lifts of the holonomy χ (Theorem 4.9); as desired, any solution $\mathfrak{F}$ determines a group precosheaf $\mathfrak{G}$ such that $\mathfrak{F}^{\mathfrak{G}} = \mathfrak{A}$. Examples in which the pair $(\mathfrak{F}, \mathfrak{G})$ does not exist or is not unique are given in §4. We shall show in a future work ([26]) that (1.4) has always a unique solution searching $\mathfrak{F}$ in the more general category of *gerbes* of C^*-algebras in the sense of (6.4), and this will determine a gerbe of compact groups rather than a group precosheaf.

The appendix contains an introduction to flat and locally constant bundles with fibres in the topological category $\mathbf{C}$, that form a non-full subcategory, denoted by $\mathbf{lc}(M, \mathbf{C})$, of the one of locally trivial bundles on M with fibres in $\mathbf{C}$. Making use of the holonomy representation we show that $\mathbf{lc}(M, \mathbf{C})$ is equivalent to the category of net bundles on Δ with fibres in $\mathbf{C}$.

2 Notation and background.

In this paper we shall use notions arising from different contexts as algebraic topology, operator algebras and category theory, so to facilitate the reader we give some definitions.

- $\mathbf{aut}G$ is the automorphism group of the group G and $\mathrm{ad}g \in \mathbf{aut}G$ is the inner automorphism induced by $g \in G$;

---

[2] A *net bundle* is a precosheaf on Δ where the structure morphisms are isomorphisms, see [21]. Inverting the morphisms we have that a net bundle can be regarded as a presheaf on Δ, see (3.3).

- If K is a set of indeces and $B := \{B_o\}_{o \in K}$, $B' := \{B'_o\}_{o \in K}$ are families of sets, then we define the *fibred product* $B \times_K B' := \{B_o \times B'_o\}_{o \in K}$;

Spaces. With the term *space* we shall mean a topological space. In particular, the notation M denotes a space (playing the role of a spacetime), which is assumed to be arcwise connected, locally compact, Hausdorff, and endowed with *good* covers: i.e., locally finite covers $X := \{X_i\}$ of M such that each X_i is arcwise and simply connected. Connected manifolds fulfill all the above properties.

Operator algebras and (pointed) dynamical systems ([5]). A C^*-algebra is a complex Banach *-algebra A where the C^*-identity $\|v^*v\| = \|v\|^2$, $\forall v \in A$, is fulfilled. We shall consider only unital C^*-algebras, that means that there is $1 \in A$ such that $1v = v1 = v$, $\forall v \in A$, so we have the group of unitaries $UA := \{u \in A : uu^* = u^*u = 1\}$. Any C^*-algebra can be represented as a norm-closed *-algebra of operators over a complex Hilbert space (so v^*, $v \in A$, is the adjoint).

A $*$-morphism $\phi : A \to A'$ is a linear map such that $\phi(v^*w) = \phi(v)^*\phi(w)$, $\forall v, w \in A$. The terms $*$-endomorphism, $*$-automorphism shall be used with the obvious meaning. We shall consider only *unital* *-morphisms, i.e. such that $\phi(1) = 1$.

A pair (A, ρ), where A is a C^*-algebra and $\rho \in \mathbf{end}A$ a *-endomorphism, is called *C^*-dynamical system.* A morphism $\phi : (A, \rho) \to (A', \rho')$ is a $*$-morphism $\phi : A \to A'$ fulfilling the relation $\rho' \circ \phi = \phi \circ \rho$. A *pointed* C^*-dynamical system is a triple $(A, \rho, \epsilon) \equiv A_{\rho,\epsilon}$, $\rho \in \mathbf{end}A$, $\epsilon \in A$; a morphism of pointed C^*-dynamical systems $\phi : A_{\rho,\epsilon} \to A'_{\rho',\epsilon'}$ is a morphism $\phi : (A, \rho) \to (A', \rho')$ such that $\phi(\epsilon) = \epsilon'$. The automorphism groups of (A, ρ) and (A, ρ, ϵ) are denoted by $\mathbf{aut}_\rho A$, $\mathbf{aut}_{\rho,\epsilon} A$, respectively. We shall use the notion of pointed C^*-dynamical system having in mind the case in which ϵ is a symmetry operator in the sense of [8, §4].

Categories. We refer to the classical reference [18, §I.1-I.4] for the basic terminology, and to [7, §1] for the notions of direct sums and subobjects. Given a category $\mathbf{C}$, we denote the set of objects by $\mathbf{obj}\ \mathbf{C}$ and the set of arrows by $\mathbf{arr}\ \mathbf{C}$. Given $F \in \mathbf{obj}\ \mathbf{C}$, we denote the group of invertible arrows in (F, F) by $\mathbf{aut}F$ and the identity by $1_F \in \mathbf{aut}F$.

Many categories that we will consider are *topological*, that is: (1) Any $F \in \mathbf{obj}\ \mathbf{C}$ is a space; (2) Any set of arrows $(F, F') \in \mathbf{arr}\ \mathbf{C}$ has elements continuous maps from F to F' and is endowed with a suitable topology; (3) Composition yields continuous maps on the sets of arrows.

The cases of interest are the following: (1) The category **Ban** with objects Banach spaces and arrows bounded linear operators, endowed with the norm topology on objects and with the pointwise convergence topology on the sets of arrows. If $F \in \mathbf{obj\ Ban}$, then $\mathbf{aut}F$ is the group of invertible linear operators; (2) The category $\mathbf{C^*alg}$ with objects C^*-algebras and arrows $*$-morphisms, with the same topologies as **Ban**. If $F \in \mathbf{obj\ C^*alg}$, then $\mathbf{aut}F$ is the automorphism group; (3) The category **Hilb** with objects Hilbert spaces and arrows bounded linear operators, with the same topologies as **Ban**. If $F \in \mathbf{obj\ Hilb}$, then by convention $\mathbf{aut}F$ is the unitary group; (4) The category **TopGr** with objects topological groups and arrows continuous maps, endowed with the pointwise convergence topology on the sets of arrows. If $F \in \mathbf{obj\ TopGr}$, then $\mathbf{homeo}F$ is the homeomorphism group.

Again on categories. Categories appeared in the context of algebraic quantum field theory for questions related to the existence of the gauge group, under the form of superselection structures ([9]). In this case we deal with *tensor C^*-categories* T, that means that the spaces of arrows (ρ, σ), $\rho, \sigma \in \mathbf{obj}\ T$, are Banach spaces with an involutive structure $* : (\rho, \sigma) \to (\sigma, \rho)$ satisfying the C^*-identity $\|t^* \circ t\| = \|t\|^2$, $t \in (\rho, \sigma)$, and endowed by a bifunctor $\otimes : T \times T \to T$, the tensor product, having identity object $\iota \in \mathbf{obj}\ T$. We say that T is *simple* whenever $(\iota, \iota) \simeq \mathbb{C}$. A further structure is the one of *symmetry*, which is defined by the family of unitary arrows $\epsilon = \{\epsilon_{\rho,\sigma} \in (\rho\sigma, \sigma\rho)\}$, $\rho\sigma := \rho \otimes \sigma$ [3], inducing the flips

$$(t' \otimes t) \circ \epsilon_{\rho,\rho'} = \epsilon_{\sigma,\sigma'} \circ (t \otimes t') \quad , \quad \forall t \in (\rho, \sigma) \ , \ t' \in (\rho', \sigma') \ , \tag{2.1}$$

and fulfilling, for all $\rho, \sigma, \tau \in \mathbf{obj}\, T$, the relations

$$\epsilon_{\rho,\sigma} \circ \epsilon_{\sigma,\rho} = 1_\rho \quad , \quad \epsilon_{\iota,\rho} = \epsilon_{\rho,\iota} = 1_\rho \quad , \quad \epsilon_{\rho\sigma,\tau} = (\epsilon_{\rho,\tau} \otimes 1_\sigma) \circ (1_\rho \otimes \epsilon_{\sigma,\tau}) \ . \tag{2.2}$$

A symmetric tensor *C**-category is denoted by $T_{\otimes,\epsilon}$, and we write $\mathbf{aut}T_{\otimes,\epsilon}$ to indicate the group of *C**-autofunctors preserving tensor product and symmetry.

The category **Hilb**, duals of compact groups ([7, Ex.1.2]) and symmetric $*$-endomorphisms ([8, §5]) are the models that we have in mind for symmetric tensor *C**-categories. For reader's convenience we recall the definition of the last case: given the C^*-algebra A, $\mathbf{end}A$ becomes a *C**-category with arrows the Banach spaces

$$(\rho, \sigma) := \{t \in A \ : \ t\rho(v) = \sigma(v)t \ , \ \forall v \in A\} \quad , \quad \forall \rho, \sigma \in \mathbf{end}A \ , \tag{2.3}$$

and composition defined by the product of A; the tensor product is given by

$$\rho \otimes \sigma := \rho\sigma := \rho \circ \sigma \quad , \quad t \otimes t' := t\rho(t') \quad , \quad \forall \rho, \sigma, \rho', \sigma' \in \mathbf{end}A \ , \ t \in (\rho, \sigma) \ , \ t' \in (\rho', \sigma') \ , \tag{2.4}$$

so the symmetry structure is defined applying (2.1,2.2) to this context.

Posets are sets K endowed with an order relation $\leq$. The motivation of this notion is the case of good bases of manifolds endowed with the inclusion relation.

As in [20, 21] we consider the simplicial set Σ_* associated with K, which at lower degrees is defined as follows. As a first step, we define the set of "points" $\Sigma_0(K) := K$. The set $\Sigma_1(K)$ of 1–simplices is given by triples

$$b := (\partial_0 b, \partial_1 b; |b|) \quad : \quad \partial_0 b, \partial_1 b, |b| \in \Sigma_0(K) \ , \ \partial_0 b, \partial_1 b \leq |b| \ ;$$

$\partial_0 b, \partial_1 b$ are called *the faces* of b, whilst $|b|$ is called *the support*, the intuitive idea being that b is a "line" from $\partial_1 b$ to $\partial_0 b$. The set $\Sigma_2(K)$ is given by quadruples $c := (\partial_0 c, \partial_1 c, \partial_2 c, |c|)$ where each $\partial_k c$, $k = 0, 1, 2$, is a 1-simplex with $|\partial_k c| \leq |c|$ and such that

$$\partial_{hk} c := \partial_h \partial_k c = \partial_k \partial_{h+1} c \quad , \quad \forall h \geq k \ . \tag{2.5}$$

A path in K is a finite sequence

$$\gamma = (b_n, \ldots, b_1) \quad : \quad b_i \in \Sigma_1(K) \ , \ \partial_0 b_i = \partial_1 b_{i+1} \ , \ \forall i = 1 \ldots, n \ ;$$

we define the ending and the starting points of γ by $\partial_0 \gamma := \partial_0 b_n$, $\partial_1 \gamma = \partial_1 b_1$, respectively, and write $\gamma : \partial_1 \gamma \to \partial_0 \gamma$. Given $a, a' \in K$, the set of paths of the type $\gamma : a \to a'$ is denoted by $K(a, a')$ and, in particular, we write $K(a) := K(a, a)$. For brevity we assume that posets are pathwise connected, that means that for any pair a, a' there is a path $\gamma : a \to a'$. Paths $\gamma = (b_n, \ldots, b_1)$, $\gamma' = (b'_n, \ldots, b'_1)$ with $\partial_1 \gamma = \partial_0 \gamma'$ can be composed in the obvious way:

$$\gamma * \gamma' := (b_n, \ldots, b_1, b'_n, \ldots, b'_1) \ .$$

---

[3] Sometimes we shall write $\epsilon_{\rho\sigma} \equiv \epsilon(\rho, \sigma)$.

There is a natural notion of *deformation* on elements of $K(a,a')$ (see [22, §2.2]), which yields an equivalence relation $\sim$. So we get the group $\pi_1(K) := K(a) / \sim$ endowed with the operation of path composition, called the homotopy group with base a.

The opposite poset of K is given by the set $K' := K$ endowed with the opposite order relation $a' \leq' a \Leftrightarrow a \leq a'$. There is an isomorphism $\pi_1(K) \simeq \pi_1(K')$, realized by observing that, exchanging the role of the supports and the faces we pass from a path in K to a path in K' ([21, §2]).

Let M be a space endowed with good covers. We fix a poset Δ of open, simply connected subsets of M defining a base for the topology of M. By [22, Theorem 2.18], for each $x \in M$ and $a \in K$ with $x \in a$ there is an isomorphism

$$\pi_1(\Delta) \to \pi_1(M) \quad , \quad p \mapsto p_{top} \ , \tag{2.6}$$

where $\pi_1(M)$ is the fundamental group with base point $x \in M$ and $\pi_1(\Delta)$ is the homotopy group of Δ relative to $a \in \Delta$, $x \in a$. By definition, the homotopy class p_{top} has elements curves with support contained in $|p| := \cup_i |b_i|$, $p := (b_n, \dots, b_1)$.

Groups. Let Π, G be groups. We denote the set of morphisms from Π to G by $\mathrm{hom}(\Pi, G)$. We say that $\chi, \chi' \in \mathrm{hom}(\Pi, G)$ are *equivalent* whenever there is $g \in G$ such that

$$\chi(p) \ = \ g\chi'(p)g^{-1} \quad , \quad \forall p \in \Pi \ ;$$

this defines an equivalence relation, and we denote the set of equivalence classes by $\underline{\mathrm{hom}}(\Pi, G)$. Given a category $\mathbf{C}$, we define the category $\mathbf{hom}(\Pi, \mathbf{C})$ in the following way: the set of objects is given by $\{\chi \in \mathrm{hom}(\Pi, \mathbf{aut}F) : F \in \mathbf{obj}\ \mathbf{C}\}$, whilst the set of arrows (χ, χ'), $\chi, \chi' \in \mathrm{hom}(\Pi, \mathbf{aut}F)$, is defined by the *intertwiners*

$$f \in (F, F') \quad : \quad \chi'(p) \circ f = f \circ \chi(p) \quad , \quad \forall p \in \Pi \ .$$

3 Nets and presheaves over posets.

Nets are a natural generalization of the notion of net of C^*-algebras, in the sense that generic categories are considered instead of $\mathbf{C^*alg}$ and an abstract poset K is considered instead of the base of double cones for the Minkowski space. The right term for our notion should be the one of *precosheaf*, neverthless we prefer to maintain the terminology used in algebraic quantum field theory. Unless otherwise stated we have topological categories $\mathbf{C}$, so any $F \in \mathbf{obj}\ \mathbf{C}$ is a space.

Nets. The basic informations encoded by a net are given by a collection $B := \{B_o\}_{o \in K}$ of objects of $\mathbf{C}$ and a family $\jmath := \{\jmath_{o'o}\}$ of arrows $\jmath_{o'o} \in (B_o, B_{o'})$, $o \leq o' \in K$, fulfilling the *net relations*

$$\jmath_{o''o} = \jmath_{o''o'} \circ \jmath_{o'o} \quad , \quad \forall o \leq o' \leq o'' \in K \ . \tag{3.1}$$

Starting from these we call *net* the pair $\mathfrak{B} := (B, \jmath)_K$; the objects B_o, $o \in K$, are called the *fibres of* $\mathfrak{B}$ and $\jmath$ is called *the net structure*. Given $S \subset K$, the *restriction* of $\mathfrak{B}$ over S is given by the net $\mathfrak{B}_S$ obtained by considering the subfamilies $\{B_o, o \in S\}$, $\{\jmath_{o'o}, o, o' \in S\}$. In particular, given $a \in K$ we set $K^a := \{o \in K : o \leq a\}$, $K_a := \{o \in K : a \leq o\}$ and $\mathfrak{B}_{\leq a} := \mathfrak{B}_{K^a}$, $\mathfrak{B}_{\geq a} := \mathfrak{B}_{K_a}$.

Remark 3.1. *We assume that the maps* $\jmath_{o'o}$ *are always injective, so we have* $*$*-monomorphisms when* $\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{C^*alg}$*, isometries when* $\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{Hilb}$ *and so on.*

Let $\mathfrak{B}' := (B', j')_K$ be a net. A *morphism* $T : \mathfrak{B} \to \mathfrak{B}'$ is given by a family of arrows $T := \{ T_o \in (B_o, B'_o) \}$ fulfilling

$$\jmath'_{o'o} \circ T_o = T_{o'} \circ \jmath_{o'o} \quad , \quad \forall o \leq o' \ . \tag{3.2}$$

A morphism $\alpha : \mathfrak{B} \to \mathfrak{B}'$ is said to be an *isomorphism* whenever there is $\alpha' : \mathfrak{B} \to \mathfrak{B}'$ such that $\alpha' \circ \alpha = id_{\mathfrak{B}}$, $\alpha \circ \alpha' = id_{\mathfrak{B}'}$. In this way, we have a category $\mathbf{net}(K, \mathbf{C})$ with objects nets with fibres in **obj** $\mathbf{C}$ and arrows the above defined morphisms. For each $\mathfrak{B}$, we write $\mathbf{end}\mathfrak{B} := (\mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{B})$.

Let $\mathfrak{B} = (B, \jmath)_K$ be a net and $B' := \{ B'_o \subseteq B_o \}$ a family *stable under* $\jmath$, i.e.

$$\jmath_{o'o}(B'_o) \subseteq B'_{o'} \quad , \quad \forall o \leq o' \ .$$

Then defining $j' := \{ \jmath_{o'o}|_{B'_o} \}$ yields a net $\mathfrak{B}'$ endowed with the inclusion monomorphism $I \in (\mathfrak{S}', \mathfrak{S})$. We say that $\mathfrak{B}'$ is a *subnet of* $\mathfrak{B}$.

Example 3.1. *Let* $F \in$ **obj** $\mathbf{C}$. *The* **constant net** *is defined by* $\mathfrak{B}_0 := (B_0, \jmath_0)_K$, *where* $B_0 := \{ B_o \equiv F \}$ *and* $\jmath_{0,o'o}(v) := v$, $\forall o \leq o' \in K$, $v \in B_o = F$. *A net* $\mathfrak{B}$ *is said to be* **trivial** *whenever there is an isomorphism* $\alpha \in (\mathfrak{B}_0, \mathfrak{B})$.

Example 3.2. *Let* M *denote the Minkowski spacetime and* Δ_{dc} *the base of double cones. Any net in the sense of [11, Chp.III] is a net of C*-algebras over* Δ_{dc} *in the sense of this paper.*

Example 3.3. *Let* K *be a poset. The objects of* $\mathbf{net}(K, \mathbf{Hilb})$ *are called nets of Hilbert spaces and form in the natural way a symmetric tensor C*-category with direct sums, subobjects and unit given by the constant net* $\mathfrak{H}_0 := (H_0, \jmath_0)$ *with fibre* $\mathbb{C}$. *When* K *is connected* $\mathfrak{H}_0$ *is simple, in fact* $(\mathfrak{H}_0, \mathfrak{H}_0) \simeq \mathbb{C}$. *Nets of (infinite-dimensional) Hilbert spaces appear in [1, §2].*

Sections. A *section* of $\mathfrak{B}$ is given by a family $s := \{ s_o \in B_o \}$ such that $\jmath_{o'o}(s_o) = s_{o'}$, $\forall o \leq o'$. We denote the set of sections of $\mathfrak{B}$ by $\widetilde{\mathfrak{B}}$. Note that $\widetilde{\mathfrak{B}}$ inherits additional structure from the category $\mathbf{C}$, i.e. $\widetilde{\mathfrak{B}}$ is a group, a Hilbert space, a C^*-algebra whenever $\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{TopGr}$, $\mathbf{Hilb}$, $\mathbf{C^*alg}$. For example, when $\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{C^*alg}$, at varying of $o \in K$ we define

$$\| s \| := \sup_o \| s_o \| \ , \ (ss')_o := s_o s'_o \ , \ (s + \lambda s')_o := s_o + \lambda s'_o \ , \ s^*_o := (s_o)^* \ ,$$

where $s, s' \in \widetilde{\mathfrak{B}}$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. Since K is pathwise connected and since (in the cases of interest) any $\jmath_{o'o}$ is isometric, the above-defined norm function is constant at varying of $o \in K$.

Presheaves. A *presheaf* over K is given by the pair $\mathfrak{S} = (S, r)^K$, where S is a family of objects of a given category $\mathbf{C}$ and $r_{aa'}$, $a \leq a'$, is a family of injective arrows fulfilling the presheaf relation

$$r_{aa'} \circ r_{a'a''} = r_{aa''} \quad , \quad \forall a \leq a' \leq a'' \in K \ .$$

Note that requiring the property of injectivity for the $r_{aa'}$'s does not fit well with the usual notion of presheaf used in algebraic topology, where, instead, the restriction maps are typically not injective. Rather, the geometric counterparts of our presheaves are *locally constant presheaves* in the sense of [2, §II.10,II.13], as can be proven reasoning as in Appendix A.

A section of $\mathfrak{S}$ is given by a family $t = \{ t_o \in S_o : r_{oo'}(t_{o'}) = t_o, \forall o \leq o' \}$. A presheaf morphism $\eta : \mathfrak{S} \to \mathfrak{S}'$ is given by a family of arrows $\eta_a : S_a \to S'_a$, $a \in K$, such that $r_{aa'} \circ \eta_{a'} = \eta_a \circ r_{aa'}$, $\forall a \leq a'$. This yields the category $\mathbf{psheaf}(K, \mathbf{C})$ of presheaves over K with coefficients in $\mathbf{C}$. A presheaf can be regarded in the obvious way as a net over the opposite poset K', and this yields isomorphisms of categories

$$\mathbf{net}(K,\mathbf{C}) \simeq \mathbf{psheaf}(K',\mathbf{C}) \quad , \quad \mathbf{net}(K',\mathbf{C}) \simeq \mathbf{psheaf}(K,\mathbf{C}) \ .$$

Net bundles, flat connections and cocycles. In this paragraph we describe some results of [20, §3-4] from a categorical viewpoint. The following considerations hold also for nets with fibres that are objects of generic categories, as the category of small C^*-categories with arrows C^*-functors (which shall be used in the sequel).

A net $\mathfrak{B} = (B, \jmath)_K$ is said to be a *net bundle* whenever each $\jmath_{o'o}$ is an isomorphism, and in this case it is customary to take a *standard fibre* $F := B_a$, with $a \in K$ fixed. In the sequel, a net bundle with standard fibre F will be denoted by

$$\mathfrak{B} := (B, j; F)_K \ .$$

To economize in notation when $\mathfrak{B}$ is a net bundle we write $\jmath_{oo'} := \jmath_{o'o}^{-1}$, $o \leq o'$. We denote the full subcategory of $\mathbf{net}(K,\mathbf{C})$ with objects net bundles by $\mathbf{bun}(K,\mathbf{C})$; in particular the set of net bundles with standard fibre F is denoted by $\mathbf{bun}(K,F)$ and the associated set of isomorphism classes by $\underline{\mathbf{bun}}(K,F)$. Analogous notations and terminology hold for presheaf bundles, which form the category $\mathbf{pbun}(K,\mathbf{C})$. Note that we have a further, canonical isomorphism of categories

$$\mathbf{bun}(K,\mathbf{C}) \to \mathbf{pbun}(K,\mathbf{C}) \quad , \quad (B,\jmath)_K \mapsto (B,r)^K \ : \ r_{oo'} := \jmath_{oo'} \ , \ o \leq o' \ . \tag{3.3}$$

The *flat connection* of the net bundle $\mathfrak{B} = (B, \jmath)_K$ is given by the family Z of isomorphisms

$$Z(b) : B_{\partial_1 b} \to B_{\partial_0 b} \quad , \quad Z(b) := \jmath_{\partial_0 b,|b|} \circ \jmath_{|b|,\partial_1 b} \quad , \quad b \in \Sigma_1(K) \ , \tag{3.4}$$

which (applying (2.5)) satisfies the $1-$cocycle relations

$$Z(\partial_0 c) \circ Z(\partial_2 c) = Z(\partial_1 c) \quad , \quad \forall c \in \Sigma_2(K) \ . \tag{3.5}$$

Fixing a family of isomorphisms $i_o \in (B_o, F)$, $o \in K$, yields the map

$$z : \Sigma_1(K) \to \mathbf{aut}F \quad , \quad z(b) := i_{\partial_0 b} \circ Z(b) \circ i_{\partial_1 b}^{-1} \quad , \quad b \in \Sigma_1(K) \ . \tag{3.6}$$

Clearly, z satisfies (3.5), i.e. it is an $\mathbf{aut}F$-cocycle in the sense of [20, Eq.27]. We now extend Z to generic paths on K: for any $\gamma := b_n * \cdots * b_1$, we define

$$Z(\gamma) := Z(b_n) \circ \cdots \circ Z(b_1) : B_{\partial_1 b_1} \to B_{\partial_0 b_n} \ . \tag{3.7}$$

In particular, if $\partial_1 \gamma = \partial_0 \gamma = a$ then Z yields the map

$$\dot\chi : K(a) \to \mathbf{aut}F \quad , \quad \dot\chi(\gamma) := Z(\gamma) \ . \tag{3.8}$$

As in [22, Lemma 2.6] we can prove that $\dot\chi$ induces a map $\chi : \pi_1(K) \to \mathbf{aut}F$, that we call the *holonomy representation of* $\mathfrak{B}$. The image of χ is called *the holonomy group of* $\mathfrak{B}$ *on* a and is denoted by $H_a(\mathfrak{B})$. By [20, Lemma 4.27], a change $a \to a'$ of the typical fibre yields a holonomy group conjugated to $H_a(\mathfrak{B})$ in $\mathbf{aut}F$.

Let $\mathfrak{B}' = (B', j'; F')_K$ be a net bundle; we write explicitly the map

$$\dot\chi' : K(a) \to \mathbf{aut}F' \quad , \quad \dot\chi'(p) := j'_{\partial_0 b_n,|b_n|} \circ j'_{|b_n|,\partial_1 b_n} \circ \cdots \circ j'_{\partial_0 b_1,|b_1|} \circ j'_{|b_1|,\partial_1 b_1} \ ,$$

defining the holonomy representation χ'. If $t \in (\mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{B}')$, then using (3.2) we find

$$t_a \circ \dot{\chi}(\gamma) = \dot{\chi}'(\gamma) \circ t_a \quad , \quad \forall \gamma : a \to a \ . \tag{3.9}$$

Thus t_a is an intertwiner from χ to χ'.

The equivalence with $\mathbf{hom}(\pi_1(K), \mathbf{C})$. We are now ready to prove that the category of net bundles is equivalent to the one of representations of $\pi_1(K)$. For a detailed proof see [20, Prop.3.11] and [21, Theorem 22(i)], anyway for reader's convenience we describe explicitly the involved maps.

Theorem 3.2. *For any poset K and category $\mathbf{C}$, there is an equivalence*

$$\mathbf{hom}(\pi_1(K), \mathbf{C}) \to \mathbf{bun}(K, \mathbf{C}) \quad , \quad \chi \mapsto \mathfrak{B}_\chi \ . \tag{3.10}$$

Sketch of the proof. In the previous lines we defined a functor from $\mathbf{bun}(K, \mathbf{C})$ to $\mathbf{hom}(\pi_1(K), \mathbf{C})$, assigining to each net bundle $\mathfrak{B}$ the holonomy representation χ and to each morphism $t \in (\mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{B}')$ the intertwiner $t_a \in (\chi, \chi')$. To prove the theorem we give an inverse (up-to-isomorphism) of this functor. To this end, using the fact that K is connected we pick once and for all paths

$$\gamma_{ao} : o \to a \quad , \quad \forall o \in K \ .$$

(This operation is called a *fixing of the path frame of K*, see [1, §6]). Moreover, we note that for each $o \leq o'$ the 1-simplex $b_{o'o} := (o', o; o')$ may be regarded as a path in $K(o, o')$. By elementary properties of deformations we have the equivalence

$$b_{o''o'} * b_{o'o} \ \sim \ b_{o''o} \quad , \quad o \leq o' \leq o'' \tag{3.11}$$

(see [22, §2.2]). We now consider a group morphism $\chi : \pi_1(K) \to \mathbf{aut}F$, $F \in \mathbf{obj}\ \mathbf{C}$, and construct an associated net bundle. First, for each $o \in K$ we define $B_o := F$ and set $B := \{B_o\}_{o \in K}$. To construct a net structure on B we define

$$\jmath_{o'o} \ := \ \chi(\gamma_{ao'} * b_{o'o} * \gamma_{ao}^{-1}) \quad , \quad o \leq o' \ . \tag{3.12}$$

By homotopy invariance of χ, and using (3.11), we have $\jmath_{o''o'} \circ \jmath_{o'o} = \jmath_{o''o}$, $o \leq o' \leq o''$, and this yields the desired net bundle $\mathfrak{B}_\chi = (B, j; F)_K$. $\square$

Let $G \subset \mathbf{aut}F$ be a subgroup. We say that *the structure group of $\mathfrak{B} \in \mathbf{bun}(K, F)$ has a reduction to G* whenever there is a holonomy representation associated to $\mathfrak{B}$ with image G. Clearly, $\mathfrak{B}$ is trivial if, and only if, there is a reduction to the trivial group.

Principal net bundles. Elements of $\mathbf{bun}(K, \mathbf{TopGr})$ are called group net bundles. An interesting subcategory of $\mathbf{bun}(K, \mathbf{TopGr})$ is given by *principal net bundles*, that we define as follows. Consider a topological group G and denote the group of homemorphisms of G by $\mathbf{homeo}G$. Now, G acts on itself by right multiplication: this yields an injective map $R : G \to \mathbf{homeo}G$ and we say that $\mathfrak{P} \in \mathbf{bun}(K, G)$ is a *G-principal net bundle* whenever it admits a holonomy representation $\chi : \pi_1(K) \to R(G) \simeq G$. To economize in notation in the sequel we will identify G with $R(G)$. Let us denote the set of G-principal net bundles by $\mathbf{Pr}(K, G)$. By definition, there is a one-to-one correspondence

$$\mathrm{hom}(\pi_1(K), G) \to \mathbf{Pr}(K, G) \quad , \quad \chi \mapsto \mathfrak{P}_\chi \ . \tag{3.13}$$

We say that $\mathfrak{P}, \mathfrak{P}' \in \mathbf{Pr}(K, G)$ are equivalent *as G-principal net bundles* whenever there is $g \in G$ such that $\chi'(p) = g \chi(p) g^{-1}$, $\forall p \in \pi_1(K)$. We denote the set of equivalence classes of G-principal net bundles by $\underline{\mathbf{Pr}}(K, G)$; by definition there is a one-to-one correspondence

$$\underline{\mathbf{Pr}}(K, G) \simeq \underline{\mathrm{hom}}(\pi_1(K), G) \ .$$

By Theorem 3.2 any net bundle $\mathfrak{B} \in \mathbf{bun}(K,F)$ has an associated principal net bundle $\mathfrak{P} \in \mathbf{Pr}(K, \mathbf{aut}F)$, defined by the holonomy of $\mathfrak{B}$. For details on principal net bundles see [21, §4].

Gauge actions. Let $\mathfrak{G} := (Y,i;G)_K$ be a group net bundle. A *gauge $\mathfrak{G}$-action* on the net $\mathfrak{B}$ is given by a family $\alpha_o : Y_o \to \mathbf{aut}B_o$, $o \in K$, of continuous group morphisms fulfilling the relations

$$\{\alpha_{o'}(i_{o'o}(y))\} \circ \jmath_{o'o} = \jmath_{o'o} \circ \alpha_o(y) \quad , \quad \forall y \in Y_o \simeq G \ , \ o \leq o' \ . \tag{3.14}$$

This yields the map $\alpha : Y \times_K B \to B$, $\alpha(y,v) := \{\alpha_o(y)\}(v)$, $\forall o \in K$, $y \in Y_o$, $v \in B_o$. In the sequel we will denote a gauge $\mathfrak{G}$-action by

$$\alpha : \mathfrak{G} \times_K \mathfrak{B} \to \mathfrak{B} \ .$$

We say that α is *faithful* whenever α_o is injective for any $o \in K$. Any section $g \in \widetilde{\mathfrak{G}}$ defines an automorphism

$$\alpha_g \in (\mathfrak{B},\mathfrak{B}) \quad , \quad \alpha_{g,o}(v) := \alpha(g_o, v) \quad , \quad v \in B_o \ .$$

When $\mathfrak{G}$ is trivial we easily find $G \simeq \widetilde{\mathfrak{G}}$ and in this case we say that α is a *global* gauge action. Clearly, a global gauge action defines group morphisms

$$\alpha : G \to \mathbf{aut}\mathfrak{B} \quad , \quad \widetilde{\alpha} : G \to \mathbf{aut}\widetilde{\mathfrak{B}} \ .$$

For example, if $\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{C^*alg}$ then $\widetilde{\alpha}$ is a strongly continuous action on the C^*-algebra $\widetilde{\mathfrak{B}}$.

The pair $(\mathfrak{B}, \alpha)$ is called *$\mathfrak{G}$-net*. Let $(\mathfrak{B}', \alpha')$ be a $\mathfrak{G}$-net and $t \in (\mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{B}')$ a morphism; we say that t is a *$\mathfrak{G}$-morphism* whenever

$$t_o \circ \alpha_o(y) = \alpha'_o(y) \circ t_o \quad , \quad \forall o \in K \ , \ y \in Y_o \ , \tag{3.15}$$

and denote the set of $\mathfrak{G}$-morphisms by $(\mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{B}')_{\mathfrak{G}}$. In this way, we defined a non-full subcategory $\mathbf{net}_{\mathfrak{G}}(K, \mathbf{C})$ of $\mathbf{net}(K, \mathbf{C})$, with object $\mathfrak{G}$-bundles and arrows $\mathfrak{G}$-morphisms. Given the $\mathfrak{G}$-net $(\mathfrak{B}, \alpha)$ and $o \in K$ we denote the space of fixed points w.r.t. α_o by B_o^α; it is easily verified that $B^\alpha := \{B_o^\alpha\}$ is stable under the net structure j, and we denote its restriction on B^α by j^α. This yields the *fixed-point subnet* $\mathfrak{B}^\alpha := (B^\alpha, j^\alpha)_K$.

Example 3.4. *Let $\mathfrak{G} = (Y,i;G)_K$ be a group net bundle. Objects of $\mathbf{bun}_{\mathfrak{G}}(K, \mathbf{Hilb})$ are called $\mathfrak{G}$-Hilbert net bundles.*

Locally trivial gauge actions. Let $\mathfrak{B} = (K, \pi, B, j; F)$ be a net bundle and $G := \mathbf{aut}F$. Then $\mathfrak{B}$ defines the group net bundle

$$\mathbf{aut}\mathfrak{B} := (\mathbf{aut}B, \jmath_*; G)_K \ , \tag{3.16}$$

where $\mathbf{aut}B := \{\mathbf{aut}B_o\}$ and $\jmath_{*,o'o} : \mathbf{aut}B_o \to \mathbf{aut}B_{o'}$, $\jmath_{*,o'o}(u) := \jmath_{o'o} \circ u \circ \jmath_{oo'}$, $\forall o \leq o'$. If $\chi \in \hom(\pi_1(K), G)$ is the holonomy representation of $\mathfrak{B}$ then $\mathbf{aut}\mathfrak{B}$ has holonomy representation

$$\mathrm{ad}\chi : \pi_1(K) \to \mathbf{aut}G \quad , \quad \{\mathrm{ad}\chi(p)\}(\beta) := \chi(p) \circ \beta \circ \chi(p)^{-1} \quad , \quad p \in \pi_1(K) \ , \ \beta \in G \ . \tag{3.17}$$

Any gauge $\mathfrak{G}$-action α on $\mathfrak{B}$ can be regarded, by (3.15), as a morphism $\alpha \in (\mathfrak{G}, \mathbf{aut}\mathfrak{B})$.

Example 3.5. *Let $\mathfrak{H} = (H, j; \mathbb{C}^d)_K$ be a Hilbert net bundle. We denote the associated net bundle of unitary automorphisms by $\mathbf{U}\mathfrak{H} := (UH, \jmath_*; \mathbb{U}(d))_K$. If $\chi \in \hom(\pi_1(K), \mathbb{U}(d))$ is the holonomy representation of $\mathfrak{H}$, then $\mathbf{U}\mathfrak{H}$ has holonomy representation* $\mathrm{ad}\chi \in \hom(\pi_1(K), \mathbf{aut}\mathbb{U}(d))$ *defined by adjoint action.*

Lemma 3.3. *Let $\mathfrak{B} = (B, j; F)_K$ be a net bundle. Then faithful gauge actions on $\mathfrak{B}$ are in one-to-one correspondence with reductions of the structure group of $\mathfrak{B}$.*

Proof. Let G be an automorphism group of F, NG denote the normalizer of G in $\mathbf{aut}F$ and $\chi \in \hom(\pi_1(K), NG)$ a reduction of the structure group of $\mathfrak{B}$. Defining $\chi'(p) := \mathrm{ad}\chi(p)|_G$, $p \in \pi_1(K)$, yields a morphism $\chi' \in \hom(\pi_1(K), \mathbf{aut}G)$. The inclusion $i : G \to \mathbf{aut}F$ obviously fulfils the relation $\mathrm{ad}\chi(p) \circ i = i \circ \chi'(p)$, $\forall p \in \pi_1(K)$, thus it defines an intertwiner $i \in (\chi', \mathrm{ad}\chi)$. Applying Theorem 3.2 we obtain a group net bundle $\mathfrak{G} \in \mathbf{bun}(K, G)$ and the desired monomorphism $i_* \in (\mathfrak{G}, \mathbf{aut}\mathfrak{B})$. On the converse, let $\mathfrak{G} = (Y, i; G)_K$ denote a group net bundle and $\alpha \in (\mathfrak{G}, \mathbf{aut}\mathfrak{B})$ a monomorphism. Fixing the standard fibre, we define $G_a := \alpha_a(Y_a) \simeq G$ and $\mathbf{aut}B_a \equiv \mathbf{aut}F$. Now, we have $\jmath_{*,o'o} \circ \alpha_o(y) = \alpha_{o'} \circ i_{o'o}(y)$, $y \in Y_o$, $o \leq o'$, thus $\jmath_{o'o}(\alpha_o(Y_o)) = \alpha_{o'}(Y_{o'})$. This implies, evaluating over paths $p \in \pi_1(K)$,

$$\{\mathrm{ad}\chi(p)\}(g) \ = \ \chi(p) \cdot g \cdot \chi(p)^{-1} = g' \in G_a \quad , \quad \forall g \in G_a \ .$$

In other terms, $\chi(p) \in NG_a$, and we conclude that χ takes values in NG_a. □

Nets and presheaves of C^*-categories. The concept of net can be categorized, as done in [19, §27]. A *net of C^*-categories* is given by a pair $\mathfrak{C} = (C, \jmath)_K$, where $C := \{C_o, o \in K\}$ is a family of C^*-categories and $j := \{\jmath_{o'o}, o \leq o'\}$ is a family of C^*-monofunctors fulfilling the relations $\jmath_{o''o'} \circ \jmath_{o'o} = \jmath_{o''o}$, $o \leq o' \leq o''$. We say that $\mathfrak{C}$ is *full* whenever any $\jmath_{o'o}$, $o \leq o'$, is full, and that $\mathfrak{C}$ is a *net bundle* whenever any $\jmath_{o'o}$ is a *-isofunctor. Any net of C^*-categories $\mathfrak{C} = (C, \jmath)_K$ defines the *C^*-category of sections* $\widetilde{\mathfrak{C}}$ with objects families

$$\varrho = \{\varrho_o \in \mathbf{obj}\ C_o\}_{o \in K} \ : \ \varrho_{o'} = \jmath_{o'o}\varrho_o \ , \ \forall o \leq o' \ , \tag{3.18}$$

sets of arrows

$$(\varrho, \varsigma) = \{t := \{t_o \in (\varrho_o, \varsigma_o)\}_{o \in K} \ : \ t_{o'} = \jmath_{o'o}(t_o) \ , \ \forall o \leq o'\} \quad , \quad \varrho, \varsigma \in \mathbf{obj}\ \widetilde{\mathfrak{C}} \ ,$$

and composition, C^*-structure defined in the natural way (in particular, we define the norm $\|t\| := \sup_o \|t_o\|$, $t \in (\varrho, \varsigma)$). For each $\rho, \sigma \in \mathbf{obj}\ \widetilde{\mathfrak{C}}$, the net structure j induces bounded linear maps

$$\jmath_{o'o} : (\varrho_o, \varsigma_o) \to (\varrho_{o'}, \varsigma_{o'}) \quad , \quad o \leq o' \ , \tag{3.19}$$

defining a net of Banach spaces $\mathfrak{C}_{\varrho,\varsigma}$, so that elements of (ϱ, ς) can be regarded as sections of $\mathfrak{C}_{\varrho,\varsigma}$. A *morphism* from $\mathfrak{C}$ to the net $\mathfrak{C}' = (C', j')_K$ is given by a family of C^*-functors $\phi = \{\phi_o : C_o \to C'_o\}$ such that $\phi_{o'} \circ \jmath_{o'o} = j'_{o'o} \circ \phi_o$, $o \leq o'$. The morphism ϕ induces the C^*-functor $\widetilde{\phi} : \widetilde{\mathfrak{C}} \to \widetilde{\mathfrak{C}}'$, $\widetilde{\phi}(\varrho) := \{\phi_o(\varrho_o)\}$, $\widetilde{\phi}(t) := \{\phi_o(t_o)\}$, $t \in (\rho, \sigma)$.

Analogous properties hold for the notion of presheaf of categories $\mathfrak{C} = (C, r)^K$ and we do not list them explicitly, limiting ourselves to observe that any $r_{aa'} : C_{a'} \to C_a$, $a \leq a'$, is a C^*-monofunctor. We denote the categories of nets, presheaves, net bundles, presheaf bundles of C^*-categories over K respectively by

$$\mathbf{net}^{\uparrow}(K, \mathbf{C^*cat}) \quad , \quad \mathbf{psheaf}^{\uparrow}(K, \mathbf{C^*cat}) \quad , \quad \mathbf{bun}^{\uparrow}(K, \mathbf{C^*cat}) \quad , \quad \mathbf{pbun}^{\uparrow}(K, \mathbf{C^*cat}) \ .$$

In particular, given the C^*-category $\mathbf{C}$ we denote the set of net bundles (resp. presheaf bundles) with fibres isomorphic to $\mathbf{C}$ by

$$\mathbf{bun}^{\uparrow}(K, \mathbf{C}) \quad , \quad \mathbf{pbun}^{\uparrow}(K, \mathbf{C}) \ .$$

The above notation should not give rise to confusion with $\mathbf{bun}(K,\mathbf{C})$ which indicates, instead, the category of net bundles whose fibres are objects of $\mathbf{C}$. As for ordinary nets, we have isomorphisms

$$\mathbf{psheaf}^{\uparrow}(K,\mathbf{C^*cat}) \simeq \mathbf{net}^{\uparrow}(K',\mathbf{C^*cat}) \quad , \quad \mathbf{bun}^{\uparrow}(K,\mathbf{C^*cat}) \simeq \mathbf{pbun}^{\uparrow}(K,\mathbf{C^*cat}) \ .$$

For future convenience the next results are expressed in terms of presheaves, but they could be given as well in terms of nets.

Proposition 3.4 (The presheaf bundle trick)**.** *Let* $\mathfrak{C} := (C,r)^K$ *be a presheaf of C*-categories. Then there is a canonical morphism*

$$P : {}_\beta\mathfrak{C} \ \to \ \mathfrak{C} \ ,$$

such that ${}_\beta\mathfrak{C} = ({}_\beta C, {}_\beta r)^K$ *is a presheaf bundle and* $\widetilde{P} : \widetilde{\mathfrak{C}} \to \widetilde{{}_\beta\mathfrak{C}}$ *is a C*-isofunctor.*

Proof. To make r bijective on the arrows we select a suitable subpresheaf of $\mathfrak{C}$. To this end we consider the C*-category $\widetilde{\mathfrak{C}}_{\geq a}$ of sections of the restriction $\mathfrak{C}_{\geq a}$, $a \in K$, and note that there is an evaluation functor

$$\pi_a : \widetilde{\mathfrak{C}}_{\geq a} \to C_a \quad , \quad \varrho \mapsto \varrho_a \ , \ t \mapsto t_a \quad , \quad \forall \varrho,\varsigma \in \mathbf{obj} \ \widetilde{\mathfrak{C}}_{\geq a} \ , \ t \in (\varrho,\varsigma) \ .$$

We set ${}_\phi C_a := \pi_a(\widetilde{\mathfrak{C}}_{\geq a})$, $\forall a \in K$. Let $e \leq a$. By definition an arrow of ${}_\phi C_e$ is of the type $t_e \in (\varrho_e,\varsigma_e)$, where $\varrho,\varsigma \in \mathbf{obj} \ \widetilde{\mathfrak{C}}_{\geq e}$ and $t \in (\varrho,\varsigma)$. But $t_e = r_{ea}(t_a)$ and by definition $t_a \in {}_\phi(\varrho_a,\varsigma_a)$; this implies that r_{ea} is a surjection on ${}_\phi(\varrho_e,\varsigma_e)$, $\forall e \leq a$ (note that r_{ea} is also injective by definition), and we define ${}_\phi r_{ea}$ as the restriction of r_{ea} on ${}_\phi C_a$. By construction the functor ${}_\phi r_{ea}$ is full and ${}_\phi\mathfrak{C}$ is a subpresheaf of $\mathfrak{C}$.

The functors ${}_\phi r_{ea}$ may be not bijective on the objects, so we define ${}_\beta C_a$, $a \in K$, as the C*-category

$$\mathbf{obj} \ {}_\beta C_a := \mathbf{obj} \ \widetilde{\mathfrak{C}} \times \{a\} \ni \varrho^a \equiv (\varrho,a) \quad , \quad {}_\beta(\varrho^a,\varsigma^a) := \ {}_\phi(\varrho_a,\varsigma_a) \ .$$

We then add the presheaf structure

$${}_\beta r_{aa'} : {}_\beta C_{a'} \to {}_\beta C_a \quad , \quad {}_\beta r_{aa'} \varrho^{a'} := \varrho^a \quad , \quad {}_\beta r_{aa'}(f) := r_{aa'}(f) \quad , \quad \forall a \leq a' \ , \ f \in {}_\beta(\varrho^{a'},\varsigma^{a'}) \ .$$

By definition ${}_\beta r_{aa'}$ is bijective on the objects, and any

$${}_\beta r_{aa'} : {}_\beta(\varrho^{a'},\varsigma^{a'}) \to {}_\beta(\varrho^a,\varsigma^a) \quad , \quad a \leq a' \ ,$$

is bijective because $r_{aa'}$ is bijective on ${}_\phi(\varrho_a,\varsigma_a) = {}_\beta(\varrho^a,\varsigma^a)$. This proves that ${}_\beta\mathfrak{C}$ is a presheaf bundle. We now define the presheaf morphism

$$P : {}_\beta\mathfrak{C} \to \ \mathfrak{C} \quad , \quad P_a(\varrho^a) := \varrho_a \ , \ P_a(f) := f \quad , \quad \forall \varrho^a \in \mathbf{obj} \ {}_\beta C_a \ , \ f \in {}_\beta(\varrho^a,\varsigma^a) \ , \ a \in K \ ,$$

inducing the C*-functor $\widetilde{P} : \widetilde{{}_\beta\mathfrak{C}} \to \widetilde{\mathfrak{C}}$. Now, sections $\widetilde{\varrho} \in \mathbf{obj} \ \widetilde{{}_\beta\mathfrak{C}}$ are of the type $\widetilde{\varrho}_a = \varrho^a$, $\forall a \in K$, for some fixed $\varrho \in \mathbf{obj} \ \widetilde{\mathfrak{C}}$, so $\widetilde{P}$ is bijective on the objects. Passing to arrows we note that if $t \in (\varrho,\varsigma)$, $\varrho,\varsigma \in \mathbf{obj} \ \widetilde{\mathfrak{C}}$, then $t_a \in {}_\phi(\varrho_a,\varsigma_a) = (\varrho,\varsigma)_a$ for all $a \in K$, so $\widetilde{P}$ is a C*-isofunctor as desired. □

We add further structure. We say that a presheaf $\mathfrak{T} = (T,r)^K$ of tensor C*-categories $(T_o)_{\otimes_o}$, $o \in K$, is a *tensor presheaf* whenever

$$r_{oo'} \circ \otimes_{o'} \ = \ \otimes_o \circ (r_{oo'} \times r_{oo'}) \quad , \quad \forall o \leq o' \ .$$

We say that $\mathfrak{T}$ is *simple* whenever $(\iota_o, \iota_o) \simeq \mathbb{C}$ for all $o \in K$, where $\iota_o \in \mathbf{obj}\ T_o$ is the identity object of T_o. The tensor structure shall be emphasized with the notation $\mathfrak{T}_\otimes$ and morphisms $\phi : \mathfrak{T} \to \mathfrak{T}'$ such that $\phi_o \circ \otimes_o = \otimes'_o \circ (\phi_o \times \phi_o)$, $o \in K$, are denoted by $\phi : \mathfrak{T}_\otimes \to \mathfrak{T}'_{\otimes'}$.

The following example is motivated by the analysis of superselection structures in algebraic quantum field theory on generic spacetimes.

Example 3.6. Nets of tensor categories defines by nets of C^*-algebras, [19, §27]. *Let $\mathfrak{R} = (R, \jmath)_K$ be a net of C^*-algebras on the Hilbert space H, that is, any $R_o \subset B(H)$ is a unital C^*-algebra and any $\jmath_{o'o}$, $o \leq o'$, is the inclusion map. For any $a \in K$ we consider the C^*-algebra*

$$R^a \subseteq B(H) \quad , \quad R^a := C^*\{R_o : o > a\}$$

(note that $R_a \subseteq R^a$) and, given $\rho, \sigma \in \mathbf{end} R^a$, the space $(\rho, \sigma) \subseteq R^a$ defined as in (2.3). We define the tensor category

$$T_a := \left\{ \begin{array}{lcl} \mathbf{obj}\ T_a & := & \{\rho \in \mathbf{end} R^a : \rho(R_o) \subseteq R_o, \forall o > a\} \ , \\ \mathbf{arr} T_a & := & \{(\rho, \sigma)_a := R_a \cap (\rho, \sigma) \ , \ \forall \rho, \sigma \in \mathbf{obj}\ T_a\} \ , \end{array} \right.$$

endowed with the tensor product (2.4). If $a \leq a'$ then $R^{a'} \subseteq R^a$ and any $\rho \in \mathbf{obj}\ T_a$ restricts to an endomorphism $\jmath_{a'a}\rho \in \mathbf{obj}\ T_{a'}$. Moreover there are obvious inclusions

$$\jmath_{a'a} : (\rho, \sigma)_a \to (\jmath_{a'a}\rho, \jmath_{a'a}\sigma)_{a'} \quad , \quad \rho, \sigma \in \mathbf{obj}\ T_a \ ,$$

and this yields the net $\mathfrak{T} = (T, \jmath)_K$. It is easily seen that $\jmath$ preserves the tensor structure, so $\mathfrak{T}_\otimes$ is a tensor net. The identity of T_a is the identity automorphism $\iota_a \in \mathbf{end} R^a$ and $(\iota_a, \iota_a)_a = R_a \cap (R^a)'$.

We say that the tensor presheaf $\mathfrak{T}_\otimes$ is *symmetric* whenever any $(T_o)_{\otimes_o}$ has symmetry ξ_o, and

$$\xi_o(r_{oo'}\varrho_{o'}, r_{oo'}\varsigma_{o'}) \ = \ r_{oo'}(\xi_{o'}(\varrho_{o'}, \varsigma_{o'})) \quad , \quad \forall o \leq o' \ , \ \varrho_o \in \mathbf{obj}\ T_o \ , \ \varsigma_o \in \mathbf{obj}\ T_o \ ; \tag{3.20}$$

the symmetry structure is emphasized with the notation $\mathfrak{T}_{\otimes,\xi}$, and morphisms $\phi : \mathfrak{T}_\otimes \to \mathfrak{T}'_{\otimes'}$ such that $\mathfrak{T}'$ has symmetry ξ' and $\phi_o(\xi(\varrho_o, \varsigma_o)) = \xi'(\phi_o\varrho_o, \phi_o\varsigma_o)$, $o \in K, \varrho_o, \varsigma_o \in \mathbf{obj}\ T_o$, are denoted by $\phi : \mathfrak{T}_{\otimes,\xi} \to \mathfrak{T}'_{\otimes',\xi'}$.

Remark 3.5. *Let us define, for any $\varrho, \varsigma \in \mathbf{obj}\ \tilde{\tilde{\mathfrak{T}}}$,*

$$\xi_{\varrho\varsigma,o} := \xi_o(\varrho_o, \varsigma_o) \quad , \quad \forall o \in K \ .$$

Then (3.20) implies that $\xi_{\varrho\varsigma} \in \mathbf{arr}\ \tilde{\tilde{\mathfrak{T}}}$ and this makes $\tilde{\tilde{\mathfrak{T}}}$ a symmetric tensor C^*-category (endowed with the obvious tensor structure). Prop.3.4 applies with the further property that ${}_\beta\mathfrak{T}_{\otimes,\xi}$ is a symmetric tensor presheaf bundle.

Applying Theorem 3.2 to the category $\mathbf{T}$ with objects the small symmetric tensor C^*-categories and arrows symmetric tensor C^*-functors we get an equivalence $\mathbf{hom}(\pi_1(K), \mathbf{T}) \simeq \mathbf{bun}^\uparrow(K, \mathbf{T})$ from which it follows, given the symmetric tensor category $T_{\otimes,\epsilon}$, the one-to-one correspondence

$$\underline{\mathrm{hom}}(\pi_1(K), \mathbf{aut} T_{\otimes,\epsilon}) \ \simeq \ \underline{\mathbf{bun}}^\uparrow(K, T_{\otimes,\epsilon}) \ . \tag{3.21}$$

Hilbert presheaves are by definition symmetric tensor full presheaves with fibres *full* symmetric tensor subcategories of **Hilb** [4]. If $\mathfrak{C}_{\otimes,\vartheta} = (C, R, \otimes, \vartheta)^K$ is a Hilbert presheaf then any $h \in \mathbf{obj}\ C_a$, $a \in K$, is a Hilbert space and, in particular, we have the identity object $\iota_a \equiv \mathbb{C}$. We have canonical, bijective linear maps

$$h \to (\iota_a, h) \ \ , \ \ v \mapsto v_\bullet \ : \ v_\bullet(\lambda) := \lambda v \ , \ v \in H \ , \ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \ ,$$

with inverses $(\iota_a, h) \to h$, $f \mapsto f_\bullet := f(1)$ (so $v_{\bullet\bullet} = v$ for all $v \in h$). Let $\varkappa \in \mathbf{obj}\ \widetilde{\mathfrak{C}}$. Since $\mathfrak{C}$ is full, for any $a \leq a'$ the functor $R_{aa'}$ defines a bijective, isometric linear map $R_{aa'} : (\iota_{a'}, \varkappa_{a'}) \to (\iota_a, \varkappa_a)$, so we have the unitary operator

$$R^{\varkappa}_{aa'} : \varkappa_{a'} \to \varkappa_a \quad : \quad R^{\varkappa}_{aa'} v' := (R_{aa'}(v'_\bullet))_\bullet \ , \ \forall v' \in \varkappa_{a'} \ . \tag{3.22}$$

Since R fulfils the presheaf relations, the family $R^{\varkappa} := \{R^{\varkappa}_{aa'}\}$ fulfils the presheaf relations too. For convenience we set $R^{\varkappa}_{a'a} := (R^{\varkappa}_{aa'})^{-1}$, $\forall a \leq a'$, so $R^{\varkappa}_{a''a'} \circ R^{\varkappa}_{a'a} = R^{\varkappa}_{a''a}$, $\forall a \leq a' \leq a''$, and $\mathfrak{H}_{\varkappa} = (\varkappa, R^{\varkappa})_K$ is a Hilbert net bundle.

Let now $\varkappa, \varkappa' \in \mathbf{obj}\ \widetilde{\mathfrak{C}}$ and $a \leq a'$. Since R preserves tensor products, by (3.22) we find

$$R^{\varkappa \otimes \varkappa'}_{aa'} \ = \ R^{\varkappa}_{aa'} \otimes R^{\varkappa'}_{aa'} \ . \tag{3.23}$$

Let $f' \in (\varkappa_{a'}, \varkappa'_{a'})$ (so f' is a linear map from $\varkappa_{a'}$ to $\varkappa'_{a'}$); then for any $v \in \varkappa_a$ we find

$$\begin{aligned} \{R_{aa'}(f')\}v \ &= \{R_{aa'}(f')\}(R_{aa'}(R^{\varkappa}_{a'a}v)_\bullet) \hspace{6em} = \\ &= R_{aa'}(f' \circ (R^{\varkappa}_{a'a}v)_\bullet) = R_{aa'}(\{f' \circ R^{\varkappa}_{a'a}\}v)_\bullet = \{R^{\varkappa'}_{aa'} \circ f' \circ R^{\varkappa}_{a'a}\}v \ , \end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$R_{aa'}(f') \ = \ R^{\varkappa'}_{aa'} \circ f' \circ R^{\varkappa}_{a'a} \ \ , \ \ \forall a \leq a' \ . \tag{3.24}$$

If $t \in (\varkappa, \varkappa')$ then

$$t_a \ = \ R_{aa'}(t_{a'}) \ \overset{(3.24)}{=} \ R^{\varkappa'}_{aa'} \circ t_{a'} \circ R^{\varkappa}_{a'a} \ \ , \ \ \forall a \leq a' \ .$$

The previous equalities show that $t \in (\varkappa, \varkappa')$ if, and only if, $t \in (\mathfrak{H}_{\varkappa}, \mathfrak{H}_{\varkappa'})$, and we conclude that

$$\widetilde{\mathfrak{C}} \to \mathbf{bun}(K, \mathbf{Hilb}) \ \ , \ \ \varkappa \mapsto \mathfrak{H}_{\varkappa} \ \ , \ \ t \mapsto t \ , \tag{3.25}$$

is a C^*-monofunctor. Simple computations show that (3.25) preserves tensor product and symmetry.

4 Nets of C^*-algebras and group duals.

In this section we focalize our attention to C^*-net bundles ([1, 23]), with the aim to construct symmetric tensor net bundles with fibres duals of compact Lie groups. At the same time we shall give examples of group actions $\alpha : G \to \mathbf{aut}F$ such that there are C^*-net bundles $\mathfrak{A}$ with fibre F^α which do not uniquely determine, or do not determine at all, a C^*-net bundle with fibre F having $\mathfrak{A}$ as fixed-point net. The basic ingredient of our constructions is the Cuntz algebra ([4]).

Nets of C^*-dynamical systems. Let (A, ρ) be a C^*-dynamical system. Then we have a tensor C^*-category $\widehat{\rho}$ defined as the full tensor C^*-subcategory of $\mathbf{end}A$ with objects the tensor powers $\rho^r := \rho \circ \ldots \circ \rho$, $r \in \mathbb{N}$ (see (2.4)). We say that ρ is symmetric whenever $\widehat{\rho}$ has symmetry ϵ (for an explicit definition in C^*-algebraic terms see [6, Eq.4.5-4.7]), and in this case we say that (A,ρ,ϵ) is a *symmetric C^*-dynamical system.* Using elementary combinatorics one can prove that any ϵ_{ρ^r,ρ^s}, $r,s \in \mathbb{N}$, is the product of elements of the type $\rho^s(\epsilon_{\rho^2,\rho^2})$, $s=0,1,\ldots$; so ϵ is determined by $\epsilon_{\rho^2,\rho^2} \in (\rho^2,\rho^2)$ (see [6, Eq.2.6,Eq.4.8]), and with an abuse of notation we write $\epsilon \equiv \epsilon_{\rho^2,\rho^2} \in UA$. If $\alpha \in \mathbf{aut}_{\rho,\epsilon}A$ then, for all $r,s \in \mathbb{N}$ and $t \in (\rho^r,\rho^s)$, $t' \in (\rho^{r'},\rho^{s'})$, we have

---

[4] Note that we assume, in particular, that the fibres of a Hilbert presheaf are all endowed with the standard symmetry given by the flip operators $\vartheta_{h \otimes h'}(v \otimes v') := v' \otimes v$, $v \in h$, $v' \in h'$.

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \alpha \circ \rho^r = \rho^r \circ \alpha \ , \\ \alpha(t)\rho^r(v) = \alpha(t \cdot \rho^r \circ \alpha^{-1}(v)) = \alpha(\rho^s \circ \alpha^{-1}(v) \cdot t) = \rho^s(v) \cdot \alpha(t) \ \Rightarrow \ \alpha(t) \in (\rho^r,\rho^s) \ , \\ \alpha(t \otimes t') = \alpha(t\rho^r(t')) = \alpha(t)\rho^r(\alpha(t')) = \alpha(t) \otimes \alpha(t') \ , \\ \alpha \circ \rho^r(\epsilon) = \rho^r(\epsilon) \ \Rightarrow \ \alpha(\epsilon_{\rho^r,\rho^s}) = \epsilon_{\rho^r,\rho^s} \ . \end{array} \right.$$

The previous equalities say that α defines a symmetric tensor C^*-autofunctor $\widehat{\alpha}$ of $(\widehat{\rho},\otimes,\epsilon)$. Actually we have the following result, whose proof is given in [24, Theorem 1]:

Proposition 4.1. *Let A be a C^*-algebra and $\rho \in \mathbf{end}A$ with symmetry ϵ. If the vector space generated by $\cup_{rs}(\rho^r,\rho^s)$ is dense in A in the norm topology, then every $\beta \in \mathbf{aut}(\widehat{\rho},\otimes,\epsilon)$ is of the type $\beta = \widehat{\alpha}$, $\alpha \in \mathbf{aut}_{\rho,\epsilon}A$.*

As a consequence of the previous proposition and (3.21), when A is generated by $\cup_{rs}(\rho^r,\rho^s)$ we have the one-to-one correspondence

$$\underline{\mathbf{bun}}^{\uparrow}(K,\widehat{\rho}_{\otimes,\epsilon}) \ \simeq \ \underline{\mathrm{hom}}(\pi_1(K),\mathbf{aut}_{\rho,\epsilon}A) \ . \tag{4.1}$$

We now interpret the r.h.s. of (4.1) in terms of C^*-net bundles. To this end, let $\mathfrak{A} = (A,\jmath)_K$ be a C^*-net bundle and $\varrho \in \mathbf{end}\mathfrak{A}$; then any $\varrho_a \in \mathbf{end}A_a$, $a \in K$, yields the tensor category $\widehat{\varrho}_a$, $a \in K$, of tensor powers of ϱ_a, and using the relations

$$\jmath_{a'a} \circ \varrho_a \circ \jmath_{aa'} = \varrho_{a'} \quad , \quad \forall a \leq a' \ , \tag{4.2}$$

we conclude that defining for all $a \leq a'$

$$\widehat{\jmath}_{a'a}(\varrho_a^r) := \varrho_{a'}^r \ , \ \widehat{\jmath}_{a'a}(t) := \jmath_{a'a}(t) \quad , \quad \forall r,s \in \mathbb{N} \ , \ t \in (\varrho_a^r,\varrho_a^s) \ , \tag{4.3}$$

yields tensor *-isofunctors $\widehat{\jmath}_{a'a} : \widehat{\varrho}_a \to \widehat{\varrho}_{a'}$ and the net bundle of tensor C^*-categories $(\widehat{\varrho},\widehat{\jmath},\otimes)_K$. By (4.2,4.3), ϱ is a section of $\widehat{\varrho}$. To deal the symmetry structure we prove the following result.

Theorem 4.2. *Let (A_*,ρ,ϵ) be a pointed C^*-dynamical system. Given a C^*-net bundle $\mathfrak{A} = (A,j;A_*)_K$, the following are equivalent: (1) There are $\varrho \in \mathbf{end}\mathfrak{A}$ and $\xi \in \widetilde{\mathfrak{A}}$ such that $\varrho_a = \rho$, $\xi_a = \epsilon$; (2) The structure group of $\mathfrak{A}$ admits a reduction $\chi : \pi_1(K) \to \mathbf{aut}_{\rho,\epsilon}A_*$.*

Proof. (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2): By (3.4,3.6,3.8), we have the map

$$\dot{\chi}(\gamma) := \jmath_{\partial_0 b_n,|b_n|} \circ \jmath_{|b_n|,\partial_1 b_n} \circ \cdots \circ \jmath_{\partial_0 b_1,|b_1|} \circ \jmath_{|b_1|,\partial_1 b_1} \ ,$$

$\gamma := b_n * \cdots * b_1 \in K(a)$, which yields the holonomy representation of $\mathfrak{A}$. Since ρ preserves the net structure, recalling that $\partial_1 b_1 = a$ we find

$$\jmath_{|b_1|,\partial_1 b_1} \circ \rho = \rho_{|b_1|} \circ \jmath_{|b_1|,\partial_1 b_1} \quad , \quad \jmath_{\partial_0 b_1,|b_1|} \circ \rho_{|b_1|} = \rho_{\partial_1 b_2} \circ \jmath_{\partial_0 b_1,|b_1|} \ .$$

Iterating the above identities over all the 1–simplices of γ we conclude that $\dot{\chi}(\gamma) \circ \rho = \rho \circ \dot{\chi}(\gamma)$. In the same way, if $\xi \in \widetilde{\mathfrak{A}}$ with $\xi_a = \epsilon$ then

$$\jmath_{|b_1|,\partial_1 b_1}(\epsilon) = \epsilon(|b_1|) \quad , \quad \jmath_{\partial_0 b_1,|b_1|} \circ \epsilon(|b_1|) = \epsilon(\partial_1 b_2) \ ,$$

and we conclude that $\{\dot\chi(\gamma)\}(\epsilon) = \epsilon$. (2) $\Rightarrow$ (1): As usual we make the identification $A_* \equiv A_a$, so that $\rho \in \mathbf{end} A_a$, $\epsilon \in A_a$. By hypothesis, for any path $\gamma := b_n * \cdots * b_1 \in K(a)$ we find

$$\dot\chi(\gamma) \circ \rho = \rho \circ \dot\chi(\gamma) \quad , \quad \{\dot\chi(\gamma)\}(\epsilon) = \epsilon \ , \tag{4.4}$$

where $\dot\chi(\gamma) \in \mathbf{aut} A_*$ is defined by (3.8). So if $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in K(a,o)$ then $\dot\chi(\gamma_2^{-1} * \gamma_1) \circ \rho = \rho \circ \dot\chi(\gamma_2^{-1} * \gamma_1)$ and $\{\dot\chi(\gamma_2^{-1} * \gamma_1)\}(\epsilon) = \epsilon$; this implies, recalling (3.7), that

$$Z(\gamma_1) \circ \rho \circ Z(\gamma_1)^{-1} = Z(\gamma_2) \circ \rho \circ Z(\gamma_2)^{-1} \ , \ \{Z(\gamma_1)\}(\epsilon) = \{Z(\gamma_2)\}(\epsilon) \ .$$

The previous equalities imply that the following maps are well-defined, since they do not depend on the choice of $\gamma : a \to o$:

$$\varrho_o := Z(\gamma) \circ \rho \circ Z(\gamma)^{-1} \quad , \quad \xi_o := \{Z(\gamma)\}(\epsilon) \quad , \quad o \in K \ .$$

Now, for each $o \leq o'$ we compute

$$\varrho_{o'} \circ \jmath_{o'o} = Z(\gamma) \circ \rho \circ Z(\gamma)^{-1} \circ \jmath_{o'o} = \jmath_{o'o} \circ Z(\gamma') \circ \rho \circ Z(\gamma')^{-1} = \jmath_{o'o} \circ \varrho_o \ ,$$

where $\gamma' := \gamma * b_{o'o} \in K(a,o')$ (the notation $b_{o'o}$ is the one used in (3.11)). This proves that $\varrho := \{\varrho_o\}$ is a well-defined endomorphism of $\mathfrak{A}$. In the same way we prove that $\xi \in \widetilde{\mathfrak{A}}$. □

Applying the previous theorem with $\xi_o \equiv 1$ we have that there is $\varrho \in \mathbf{end}\mathfrak{A}$ if, and only if, $\mathfrak{A}$ has holonomy in $\mathbf{aut}_\rho A_*$. We call *pointed dynamical C^*-net bundle* the triple $(\mathfrak{A}, \varrho, \xi)$; sometimes in the sequel we will write more shortly $\mathfrak{A}_{\varrho,\xi}$. There is an obvious notion of morphism of pointed dynamical C^*-net bundles:

$$\eta : \mathfrak{A}_{\varrho,\xi} \to \mathfrak{A}'_{\varrho',\xi'} \ \Leftrightarrow \ \eta \in (\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{A}') \ , \ \eta_o \circ \varrho_o = \varrho'_o \circ \eta_o \quad , \quad \eta_o(\xi_o) = \xi'_o \quad , \quad \forall o \in K \ .$$

We denote the set of pointed dynamical C^*-net bundles with fibre (A_*, ρ, ϵ) by $\mathbf{bun}(K; A_*, \rho, \epsilon)$ and the associated set of isomorphism classes by $\underline{\mathbf{bun}}(K; A_*, \rho, \epsilon)$. We can now return on the question of symmetry of $(\widehat\varrho, \widehat\jmath, \otimes)_K$.

Corollary 4.3. *Let (A_*, ρ, ϵ) be a symmetric C^*-dynamical system such that A_* is generated by $\cup_{rs}(\rho^r, \rho^s)$. Then for any $\mathfrak{A}_{\varrho,\xi} \in \mathbf{bun}(K; A_*, \rho, \epsilon)$ the tensor net bundle $(\widehat\varrho, \widehat\jmath, \otimes, \xi)_K$ is symmetric and has holonomy coinciding with the one of $\mathfrak{A}_{\varrho,\xi}$, having used the identification of Prop.4.1. There are one-to-one correspondences*

$$\underline{\mathrm{hom}}(\pi_1(K), \mathbf{aut}_{\rho,\epsilon} A_*) \ \simeq \ \underline{\mathbf{bun}}(K; A_*, \rho, \epsilon) \ \simeq \ \underline{\mathbf{bun}}^{\uparrow}(K, \widehat\rho_{\otimes,\epsilon}) \ . \tag{4.5}$$

Proof. The first bijection in (4.5) is a consequence of Theorem 4.2, so we prove that there is a bijection $\underline{\mathrm{hom}}(\pi_1(K), \mathbf{aut}_{\rho,\epsilon} A_*) \simeq \underline{\mathbf{bun}}^{\uparrow}(K, \widehat\rho_{\otimes,\epsilon})$. To this end, we note that if $\chi : \pi_1(K) \to \mathbf{aut}_{\rho,\epsilon} A_*$ is the holonomy of $\mathfrak{A}_{\varrho,\xi}$ we must have $\{\chi(p)\}(\epsilon) = \epsilon$ for all $p \in \pi_1(K)$. So, considering the induced *-isomorphisms $Z(\gamma) : A_a \to A_o$, $\gamma : a \to o$ (see (3.7)), we define $\xi_o := \{Z(\gamma)\}(\epsilon)$, $\forall o \in K$. Using (4.2) we conclude that ξ is a section of $\mathfrak{A}$ and that ξ_o fulfils (2.1,2.2) for any $o \in K$, so we have the desired symmetric tensor net bundle $(\widehat\varrho, \widehat\jmath, \otimes, \xi)_K$. On the other side, if $(\widehat\varrho, \widehat\jmath, \otimes, \xi)_K \in \mathbf{bun}^{\uparrow}(K, \widehat\rho_{\otimes,\epsilon})$ then (4.1) shows that $\widehat\varrho$ has holonomy representation $\chi : \pi_1(K) \to \mathbf{aut}_{\rho,\epsilon} A_*$, which determines the net bundle $\mathfrak{A}_{\varrho,\xi}$. □

Cuntz algebras. We call *symmetric C^*-net bundle* any pointed dynamical C^*-net bundle of the type considered in the previous corollary. In the following paragraphs we study a "universal" class of symmetric C^*-net bundles, defined by means of the Cuntz algebras $\mathcal{O}_d$, $d \in \mathbb{N}$. C^*-net bundles with fibre $\mathcal{O}_d$ shall be constructed using rank d Hilbert net bundles. We start recalling that $\mathcal{O}_d$ is the universal C^*-algebra generated by d orthogonal isometries $\psi_1, \ldots, \psi_d$ with total support the identity, that is

$$\psi_h^* \psi_k = \delta_{hk} 1 \quad , \quad \sum_k \psi_k \psi_k^* = 1 \ ,$$

where δ is the Kronecker symbol ([4]). $\mathcal{O}_d$ has a well-known structure of symmetric C^*-dynamical system,

$$\sigma \in \mathbf{end}\mathcal{O}_d \ , \ \sigma(t) := \sum_k \psi_k t \psi_k^* \ , \ \forall t \in \mathcal{O}_d \quad , \quad \theta := \sum_{hk} \psi_h \psi_k \psi_h^* \psi_k^* \ \in (\sigma^2, \sigma^2)$$

(see [6, §2]), and is endowed with the action

$$\mathbb{U}(d) \to \mathbf{aut}\mathcal{O}_d \quad , \quad u \mapsto \widehat{u} \ : \ \widehat{u}(\psi_h) := \sum_k u_{hk} \psi_k \ , \tag{4.6}$$

where $u_{hk} \in \mathbb{C}$, $h, k = 1, \ldots, d$, are the matrix elements of u ([6, §1]). (4.6) restricts to actions $G \to \mathbf{aut}\mathcal{O}_d$ for each subgroup $G \subseteq \mathbb{U}(d)$. Since $\widehat{u} \circ \sigma = \sigma \circ \widehat{u}$ and $\widehat{u}(\theta) = \theta$, $\forall u \in \mathbb{U}(d)$, we have that the fixed point algebra $\mathcal{O}_G \subseteq \mathcal{O}_d$ defines a symmetric C^*-dynamical system

$$(\mathcal{O}_G, \rho, \theta) \quad , \quad \rho := \sigma|_{\mathcal{O}_G} \ .$$

Let now $NG \subseteq \mathbb{U}(d)$ denote the normalizer of G in $\mathbb{U}(d)$; we consider the natural epimorphism

$$q : NG \to QG := NG/G$$

and define $\mathbf{aut}^G\mathcal{O}_d := \{\alpha \in \mathbf{aut}_{\sigma,\theta}\mathcal{O}_d \ : \ \alpha|_{\mathcal{O}_G} \in \mathbf{aut}_{\rho,\theta}\mathcal{O}_G\}$.

Lemma 4.4. *Let $u \in \mathbb{U}(d)$. Then $u \in NG$ if and only if $\widehat{u} \in \mathbf{aut}^G\mathcal{O}_d$, and $\widehat{u}|_{\mathcal{O}_G} = \widehat{v}|_{\mathcal{O}_G}$ if and only if $q(u) = q(v)$.*

Proof. If $u \in NG$ then $g' := ugu^* \in G$ for all $g \in G$ and $\widehat{u}(t) = \widehat{u} \circ \widehat{g}(t) = \widehat{u}(t) = \widehat{g'} \circ \widehat{u}(t)$ for all $t \in \mathcal{O}_G$. Since every $g' \in G$ is of the type $g' = ugu^*$ for some $g \in G$, we conclude that $\widehat{u}(t) \in \mathcal{O}_G$ and $\widehat{u} \in \mathbf{aut}^G\mathcal{O}_d$. On the converse, if $\widehat{u} \in \mathbf{aut}^G\mathcal{O}_d$ then $\widehat{u}^{-1}(t) \in \mathcal{O}_G$ for all $t \in \mathcal{O}_G$ and, defining $g' := ugu^*$ for each $g \in G$, we find $\widehat{g'}(t) = \widehat{u} \circ \widehat{g} \circ \widehat{u}^{-1}(t) = \widehat{u} \circ \widehat{u}^{-1}(t) = t$. Thus we conclude that $\widehat{g'}$ is in the stabilizer of $\mathcal{O}_G$ in $\mathbf{aut}\mathcal{O}_d$, so that, by [6, Cor.3.3], $g' \in G$ i.e. $u \in NG$. Finally, again by [6, Cor.3.3] we have that $\widehat{u}|_{\mathcal{O}_G} = \widehat{v}|_{\mathcal{O}_G}$ if, and only if, $uv^* \in G$, i.e. $q(u) = q(v)$. $\square$

Thus the map (4.6) defines a monomorphism $NG \to \mathbf{aut}^G\mathcal{O}_d$, which yields the monomorphism

$$QG \to \mathbf{aut}_{\rho,\theta}\mathcal{O}_G \quad , \quad y \mapsto \widehat{y} \ . \tag{4.7}$$

By [24, Def.4-Theorem 7], the map (4.7) is an isomorphism when $G \subseteq \mathbb{SU}(d)$ or $G = \mathbb{T} \subseteq \mathbb{U}(d)$ acts by on $\mathbb{C}^d$ scalar multiplication; in particular, for $G = \{1\}$ we have the isomorphism

$$\mathbb{U}(d) \to \mathbf{aut}_{\sigma,\theta}\mathcal{O}_d \quad , \quad u \mapsto \widehat{u} \ . \tag{4.8}$$

To simplify the proofs of the following results we give a categorical version of (4.8). Let $\mathbf{Hilb}^{-1}$ denote the category with objects *finite dimensional* Hilbert spaces and arrows the sets $(H, H')^{-1}$ of *unitary* operators from H to H'; by [7, §4], there is a C^*-functor

$$\mathbf{Hilb}^{-1} \to \mathbf{C^*alg} \quad , \quad H \mapsto \mathcal{O}_H \quad , \quad u \mapsto \widehat{u} \ , \tag{4.9}$$

where $\mathcal{O}_H$ is isomorphic to the Cuntz algebra of order the dimension of H, and $\widehat{u} : \mathcal{O}_H \to \mathcal{O}_{H'}$ is the isomorphism defined by $\widehat{u}(\psi_h) := \sum_k u_{hk}\psi'_k$, where $\{\psi_h\}$, $\{\psi'_k\}$ are the sets of isometries generating $\mathcal{O}_H$, $\mathcal{O}_{H'}$ respectively. By the previous considerations, if we denote the canonical endomorphism of $\mathcal{O}_H$ and the symmetry operator respectively by σ_H and θ_H, then $\widehat{u} \circ \sigma_H = \sigma_{H'} \circ \widehat{u}$ and $\widehat{u}(\theta_H) = \theta_{H'}$, so (4.9) takes values in the category of symmetric C^*-dynamical systems.

Given $G \subseteq \mathbb{U}(d)$ we consider the defining representation

$$\pi_G : G \to \mathbb{U}(d)$$

and the tensor C^*-category $\widehat{\pi}_G$ with objects the tensor powers π_G^r, $r \in \mathbb{N}$, and arrows the intertwiner spaces. $\widehat{\pi}_G$ has the symmetry φ inherited by **Hilb** (see [6, §1]), so we write $\widehat{\pi}_{G;\otimes,\varphi}$ to emphasize the symmetric tensor structure of $\widehat{\pi}_G$. Let now $u \in \mathbb{U}(d)$. Then u defines a symmetric tensor autofunctor of the category of tensor powers of $H := \mathbb{C}^d$ by posing

$$\widehat{u}(H^r) := H^r \quad , \quad \widehat{u}(t) := u^s \circ t \circ u^{r,*} \quad , \quad \forall r, s \in \mathbb{N} \ , \ t \in (H^r, H^s) \ , \tag{4.10}$$

where $u^r \in UH^r$ is the r-fold tensor power. Since the only unitaries inducing the identity autofunctor of $\widehat{\pi}_G$ are those in G ([6, Cor.3.3]), reasoning as in Lemma 4.4 we have

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} u \in NG \ \Leftrightarrow \ \widehat{u}(t) \in (\pi_G^r, \pi_G^s) \ , \ \forall t \in (\pi_G^r, \pi_G^s) \ , \\ \widehat{u}|_{\widehat{\pi}_G} = \widehat{v}|_{\widehat{\pi}_G} \ \Leftrightarrow \ q(u) = q(v) \ , \ \forall u, v \in NG \ . \end{array} \right. \tag{4.11}$$

Theorem 4.5. *Let $G \subseteq \mathbb{U}(d)$. Then there are maps*

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathrm{hom}(\pi_1(K), QG) \overset{(\bullet)}{\to} \mathbf{bun}(K; \mathcal{O}_G, \rho, \theta) \quad , \quad \chi \mapsto \mathfrak{A}_{\chi;\varrho,\vartheta} \ , \\ \mathrm{hom}(\pi_1(K), QG) \overset{(\bullet\bullet)}{\to} \mathbf{bun}^{\uparrow}(K, \widehat{\rho}_{\otimes,\theta}) \overset{(\bullet\bullet\bullet)}{\to} \mathbf{bun}^{\uparrow}(K, \widehat{\pi}_{G;\otimes,\varphi}) \ . \end{array} \right. \tag{4.12}$$

The map $(\bullet\bullet\bullet)$ is always an isomorphism, whilst $(\bullet)$, $(\bullet\bullet)$ are isomorphisms when $G \subseteq \mathbb{SU}(d)$ or $G = \mathbb{T} \subseteq \mathbb{U}(d)$.

Proof. (4.12.1) is a direct consequence of (4.5) and the fact that (4.7) is an isomorphism when $G \subseteq \mathbb{SU}(d)$ or $G = \mathbb{T} \subseteq \mathbb{U}(d)$ ([24, Def.4-Theorem 7]). About (4.12.2), we note that $\mathcal{O}_G$ is generated by $\cup_{rs}(\rho^r, \rho^s)$ (see [6, §1,§3]), and that there is an isomorphism $\widehat{\rho}_{\otimes,\theta} \simeq \widehat{\pi}_{G;\otimes,\varphi}$ (see [6, Theorem 3.5]), so the proof follows by (4.12.1) and Cor.4.3. □

Proposition 4.6. *Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\mathfrak{H} = (H, j; \mathbb{C}^d)_K$ a Hilbert net bundle. Then $\mathfrak{H}$ defines a symmetric C^*-net bundle*

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{H}} = (\mathcal{O}_H, \widehat{j}; \mathcal{O}_d)_K \quad , \quad (\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{H}}, \varsigma, \vartheta) \in \mathbf{bun}(K; \mathcal{O}_d, \sigma, \theta) \ .$$

The symmetric tensor net bundle $(\widehat{\varsigma}, \widehat{\jmath}, \otimes, \vartheta)_K$ has fibre the full subcategory of **Hilb** *of tensor powers of $\mathbb{C}^d$. Moreover, $\mathfrak{H}, \mathfrak{H}'$ are isomorphic if and only if the there is an isomorphism $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{H};\varsigma,\vartheta} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{H}';\varsigma',\vartheta'}$.*

Proof. If $\chi : \pi_1(K) \to \mathbb{U}(d)$ is the holonomy of $\mathfrak{H}$, then composing χ with (4.8) we obtain the desired $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{H};\varsigma,\vartheta}$. Since (4.8) is an isomorphism we conclude that $\mathfrak{H}, \mathfrak{H}'$ are isomorphic if and only if $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{H};\varsigma,\vartheta}$, $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{H}';\varsigma',\vartheta'}$ are isomorphic. The assertion about $(\widehat{\varsigma}, \widehat{\jmath}, \otimes, \vartheta)_K$ follows by applying Theorem 4.5 to the trivial group $G = \{1\}$. □

Let $\mathbf{U}\mathfrak{H} = (UH, \jmath_*; \mathbb{U}(d))_K$ denote the net bundle of unitary automorphisms of $\mathfrak{H}$. The family $SUH := \{u \in UH_a : \det u = 1\}_a$ is stable under $\jmath_*$, thus we have a group net subbundle of $\mathbf{U}\mathfrak{H}$

that we denote by $\mathbf{SU}\mathfrak{H} \in \mathbf{bun}(K, \mathbb{SU}(d))$. Let $\mathfrak{G} = (Y, i; G)_K$ be a group net bundle and $(\mathfrak{H}, \alpha)$ a $\mathfrak{G}$-Hilbert net bundle; then we may regard α as a morphism $\alpha \in (\mathfrak{G}, \mathbf{U}\mathfrak{H})$, and the equalities

$$\jmath_{*,o'o} \circ \alpha_o(Y_o) = \alpha_{o'} \circ i_{o'o}(Y_o) = \alpha_{o'}(Y_{o'}) \quad , \quad o \leq o' \ ,$$

imply that the set $\alpha(Y) \subseteq UH$ is stable under $\jmath_*$. Thus any gauge action on $\mathfrak{H}$ defines a group net subbundle $\mathfrak{G}_\alpha$ of $\mathbf{U}\mathfrak{H}$. In the sequel, we will restrict ourselves to consider gauge action induced by net subbundles of $\mathbf{U}\mathfrak{H}$. An immediate consequence of Lemma 3.3 is the following:

Lemma 4.7. *Let $\mathfrak{H} \in \mathbf{bun}(K, \mathbb{C}^d)$. Group net subbundles of $\mathbf{U}\mathfrak{H}$ with fibre $G \subseteq \mathbb{U}(d)$ are in one-to-one correspondence with reductions to NG of the structure group of $\mathfrak{H}$.*

Proposition 4.8. *Let $\mathfrak{H} = (H, \jmath)_K \in \mathbf{bun}(K, \mathbb{C}^d)$ a Hilbert net bundle and*

$$\mathfrak{G} \subseteq \mathbf{U}\mathfrak{H} \quad , \quad \mathfrak{G} = (Y, \jmath_*)_K \ ,$$

a group net subbundle. Then there is a gauge action $\mathfrak{G} \times_K \mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{H} \to \mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{H}$ and a closed group $G \subseteq \mathbb{U}(d)$ such that the fixed-point net bundle $\mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{H}^\mathfrak{G} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{H}$ yields the symmetric C^*-net bundle

$$\mathcal{O}^\mathfrak{G}_{\mathfrak{H};\varrho,\vartheta} \in \mathbf{bun}(K; \mathcal{O}_G, \rho, \theta) \ .$$

If $\chi : \pi_1(K) \to NG$ is the holonomy representation of $\mathfrak{H}$ defined in Lemma 4.7, then $\mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{H}^\mathfrak{G}$ has holonomy representation induced by

$$q \circ \chi : \pi_1(K) \to QG \ .$$

Finally, $q \circ \chi$ is also the holonomy of the symmetric tensor net bundle $(\widehat{\varrho}, \widehat{\jmath}, \otimes, \vartheta)_K \in \mathbf{bun}^\uparrow(K, \widehat{\pi}_{G;\otimes,\varphi})$, which is a subbundle of $(\widehat{\varsigma}, \widehat{\jmath}, \otimes, \vartheta)_K$.

Proof. Writing (3.14) for the action $\mathfrak{G} \times_K \mathfrak{H} \to \mathfrak{H}$ we have $\jmath_{a'a} \circ y = j_{*;a'a}(y) \circ \jmath_{a'a}$, $\forall y \in Y_a$, $a \leq a'$, so the desired gauge action is defined by composition with the functor (4.9), i.e.

$$\widehat{\jmath}_{a'a} \circ \widehat{y} = \widehat{\jmath}_{*;a'a}(y) \circ \widehat{\jmath}_{a'a} \ ,$$

with $\widehat{\jmath}_{a'a} : \mathcal{O}_{H,a} \to \mathcal{O}_{H,a'}$, $\widehat{y} \in \mathbf{aut}\mathcal{O}_{H,a}$, and $\widehat{\jmath}_{*;a'a}(y) \in \mathbf{aut}\mathcal{O}_{H,a'}$. Since all the above maps are isomorphisms of symmetric C^*-dynamical systems, the fixed-point net $\mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{H}^\mathfrak{G} = (\mathcal{O}_Y, \widehat{\jmath})_K$ acquires itself the structure of dynamical C^*-net bundle $(\mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{H}^\mathfrak{G}, \varrho, \vartheta)$, $\varrho_a := \varsigma_a|_{\mathcal{O}_{Y,a}} \in \mathbf{end}\mathcal{O}_{Y,a}$, $a \in K$. Fixing a standard fibre $G := Y_a$, $a \in K$, yields $\mathcal{O}^\mathfrak{G}_{\mathfrak{H};\varrho,\vartheta} \in \mathbf{bun}(K; \mathcal{O}_G, \rho, \theta)$ as desired. Now, by Lemma 4.7 $\mathfrak{G}$ yields a reduction $\chi : \pi_1(K) \to NG$ of the structure group of $\mathfrak{H}$ such that $\mathfrak{G}$ has holonomy $\mathrm{ad}\chi : \pi_1(K) \to \mathbf{aut}G$ and $\mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{H}$ has holonomy $\widehat{\chi} : \pi_1(K) \to \mathbf{aut}_{\sigma,\theta}\mathcal{O}_d$ (obtained by composition with (4.9)). By (4.7), any automorphism

$$\{\widehat{\chi}(p)\}|_{\mathcal{O}_G} \in \mathbf{aut}_{\rho,\theta}\mathcal{O}_G \quad , \quad p \in \pi_1(K) \ ,$$

is determined by the coset $q \circ \chi(p) \in QG$, so $\mathcal{O}^\mathfrak{G}_{\mathfrak{H};\varrho,\vartheta}$ has holonomy $q \circ \chi$. Finally, $(\widehat{\varrho}, \widehat{\jmath}, \otimes, \vartheta)_K$ has holonomy $q \circ \chi$ by Cor.4.3, and since we have the inclusion $\mathcal{O}^\mathfrak{G}_{\mathfrak{H};\varrho,\vartheta} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{H};\varsigma,\vartheta}$ we also find that $(\widehat{\varrho}, \widehat{\jmath}, \otimes, \vartheta)_K$ is a subbundle of $(\widehat{\varsigma}, \widehat{\jmath}, \otimes, \vartheta)_K$. □

It is natural to ask whether every element of $\mathbf{bun}(K; \mathcal{O}_G, \rho, \theta)$ is of the type $\mathcal{O}^\mathfrak{G}_{\mathfrak{H};\varrho,\vartheta}$ for some Hilbert net bundle $\mathfrak{H}$ and $\mathfrak{G} \subseteq \mathbf{U}\mathfrak{H}$. In the following result we show that this question is equivalent to a lifting problem.

Theorem 4.9. *Let* $G \subseteq \mathbb{U}(d)$ *,* $\chi \in \mathrm{hom}(\pi_1(K), QG)$ *and*

$$(\mathfrak{A}_\chi, \tau, \xi) \in \mathbf{bun}(K; \mathcal{O}_G, \rho, \theta)$$

the associated symmetric C-net bundle. Then the following are equivalent:* ***(1)*** *There is* $\mathfrak{G} \in \mathbf{bun}(K, G)$ *and a* $\mathfrak{G}$*-Hilbert net bundle* $\mathfrak{H}$ *with an isomorphism*

$$(\mathfrak{A}_\chi, \tau, \xi) \ \simeq \ (\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{H}}^{\mathfrak{G}}, \varrho, \vartheta) \ .$$

(2) There is a lift

$$\widetilde{\chi} : \pi_1(K) \to NG \quad : \quad q \circ \widetilde{\chi} = \chi \ . \tag{4.13}$$

(3) There is a monomorphism

$$(\widehat{\tau}, \widehat{\jmath}, \otimes, \xi)_K \hookrightarrow (\widehat{\varsigma}, \widehat{\jmath}, \otimes, \vartheta)_K$$

of symmetric tensor net bundles, where any $\widehat{\varsigma}_a$ *,* $a \in K$ *, is isomorphic to the full subcategory of* **Hilb** *of tensor powers of* $\mathbb{C}^d$ *.*

Proof. **(1)** $\Rightarrow$ **(2)**: By Lemma 4.7 the Hilbert net bundle $\mathfrak{H}$ has a reduction $\widetilde{\chi}' \in \mathrm{hom}(\pi_1(K), NG)$; moreover, Prop.4.8 implies that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{H}}^{\mathfrak{G}}$ has holonomy $\chi' := q \circ \widetilde{\chi}'$. Using (4.12) and the isomorphism $\mathfrak{A}_{\chi;\tau,\xi} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{H};\varrho,\vartheta}^{\mathfrak{G}}$ we find $y \in QG$ such that

$$\chi'(p) = y \chi(p) y^{-1} \quad , \quad \forall p \in \pi_1(K) \ .$$

Thus picking some $u \in q^{-1}(y)$ and defining $\widetilde{\chi}(p) := u^{-1} \widetilde{\chi'}(p) u$, $p \in \pi_1(K)$, yields the desired lift. **(2)** $\Rightarrow$ **(1)**: We regard NG as a subgroup of $\mathbb{U}(d)$ and consider the Hilbert net bundle $\mathfrak{H} \in \mathbf{bun}(K, \mathbb{C}^d)$ with holonomy representation $\widetilde{\chi}$. By Lemma 4.7 there is a group net subbundle $\mathfrak{G}$ of $\mathbf{U}\mathfrak{H}$, and Prop.4.8 implies that $(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{H}}^{\mathfrak{G}}, \varrho, \vartheta)$ has holonomy representation χ. Thus $\mathfrak{A}_\chi$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{H}}^{\mathfrak{G}}$ have the same holonomy $\chi \in \mathrm{hom}(\pi_1(K), QG)$, and by (4.12) we obtain the desired isomorphism. **(3)** $\Rightarrow$ **(2)**: Since $\widehat{\tau}$ is a symmetric tensor net subbundle of $\widehat{\varsigma}$, the holonomy

$$\chi : \pi_1(K) \to \mathbf{aut} \widehat{\varsigma}_{a;\otimes,\theta} \ \simeq \ \mathbf{aut}_{\sigma,\theta} \mathcal{O}_d \ \simeq \ \mathbb{U}(d)$$

restricts to a holonomy with values in the automorphism group of the subcategory $\widehat{\tau}_{a;\otimes,\theta} \subseteq \widehat{\varsigma}_{a;\otimes,\theta}$. By Prop.4.1, these automorphisms yields automorphisms in $\mathbf{aut}_{\rho,\theta} \mathcal{O}_G$, and this is equivalent to say, by Lemma 4.4, that $\chi(p) \in \mathbf{aut}^G \mathcal{O}_d$ for all $p \in \pi_1(K)$. But, again by Lemma 4.4, this means that $\mathfrak{H}$ has holonomy $u : \pi_1(K) \to NG$ such that $\chi(p) = \widehat{u}(p)$, $\forall p \in \pi_1(K)$. **(1)** $\Rightarrow$ **(3)**: The isomorphism $\mathfrak{A}_{\chi;\tau,\xi} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{H};\varrho,\vartheta}^{\mathfrak{G}}$ yields an isomorphism $(\widehat{\tau}, \widehat{\jmath}, \otimes, \xi)_K \simeq (\widehat{\varrho}, \widehat{\jmath}, \otimes, \vartheta)_K$, and this last is a symmetric tensor net subbundle of $(\widehat{\varsigma}, \widehat{\jmath}, \otimes, \vartheta)_K$. The fact that $\widehat{\varsigma}$ has the desired fibre follows by Prop.4.6. $\square$

With the notation of the previous theorem, we say $\mathfrak{G}$ is a *gauge group* of $\mathfrak{A}_{\chi;\varrho,\xi}$. In the following lines we give examples in which $\mathfrak{G}$ is not uniquely determined by $\mathfrak{A}_{\chi;\varrho,\xi}$, and in which $\mathfrak{G}$ does not exist at all.

The case $G = \mathbb{SU}(d)$ and non-unicity of the gauge group. Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\mathfrak{H} \in \mathbf{bun}(K, \mathbb{C}^d)$ a rank d Hilbert net bundle. By Theorem 3.2 there is a holonomy representation $\chi : \pi_1(K) \to \mathbb{U}(d)$ identifying $\mathfrak{H}$ up to isomorphism. Composing χ with the determinant yields a morphism

$$\mathfrak{c}_1(\mathfrak{H}) \in \mathrm{hom}(\pi_1(K), \mathbb{T}) \ , \tag{4.14}$$

called the *first Chern class of* $\mathfrak{H}$. In particular, if M is a manifold with a good base Δ then (2.6) and the Hurewicz theorem imply that $\mathrm{hom}(\pi_1(\Delta), \mathbb{T})$ is isomorphic to the singular cohomology group

$H^1(M,\mathbb{T})$, and we may regard $\mathfrak{c}_1(\mathfrak{H})$ as an element of $H^1(M,\mathbb{T})$. This yields the characteristic class

$$\mathfrak{c}_1 : \mathbf{bun}(\Delta, \mathbf{Hilb}) \to H^1(M,\mathbb{T}) \quad : \quad \mathfrak{c}_1(\mathfrak{H} \oplus \mathfrak{H}') = \mathfrak{c}_1(\mathfrak{H}) \cdot \mathfrak{c}_1(\mathfrak{H}') \ ,$$

which, in essence, is the first Cheeger-Chern-Simons class (see [3, Ex.1.5]) of the flat Hermitian vector bundle $\mathcal{E} \to M$ associated with $\mathfrak{H}$ in the sense of §A.

Let $G = \mathbb{SU}(d)$. Then $NG = \mathbb{U}(d)$, $QG = \mathbb{T}$ and $q : \mathbb{U}(d) \to \mathbb{T}$ is the determinant. Thus we have the one-to-one correspondence

$$\mathrm{hom}(\pi_1(K),\mathbb{T}) \to \mathbf{bun}(K; \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{SU}(d)}, \rho, \theta) \quad , \quad \chi \mapsto (\mathfrak{A}_\chi, \tau, \xi) \ .$$

Now, the determinant map $q : \mathbb{U}(d) \to \mathbb{T}$ admits left inverses $s : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{U}(d)$, $q \circ s = id_{\mathbb{T}}$ (for example, we may define $s(z)$, $z \in \mathbb{T}$, as the diagonal matrix with entries $(z, 1, \ldots, 1)$). Thus every $\chi \in \mathrm{hom}(\pi_1(K),\mathbb{T})$ has a lift $\widetilde{\chi} := s \circ \chi$, and the map

$$q_* : \mathrm{hom}(\pi_1(K),\mathbb{U}(d)) \to \mathrm{hom}(\pi_1(K),\mathbb{T})$$

is surjective. Applying Theorem 3.2 to the category of Hilbert spaces we find that every morphism $\nu \in \mathrm{hom}(\pi_1(K),\mathbb{U}(d))$ defines the Hilbert net bundle $\mathfrak{H}_\nu \in \mathbf{bun}(K,\mathbb{C}^d)$. Since q_* is induced by the determinant, by definition of first Chern class (see (4.14)) we find $\mathfrak{c}_1(\mathfrak{H}_\nu) = q_*(\nu)$. Thus we proved:

Proposition 4.10. *Let* $\chi \in \mathrm{hom}(\pi_1(K),\mathbb{T})$ *and* $\mathfrak{A}_{\chi;\tau,\xi} \in \mathbf{bun}(K; \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{SU}(d)}, \rho, \theta)$ *denote the associated symmetric C*-net bundle. Then for any* $\mathfrak{H} \in \mathbf{bun}(K,\mathbb{C}^d)$ *we have*

$$\mathfrak{c}_1(\mathfrak{H}) = \chi \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad (\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{H}}^{\mathbf{SU}\mathfrak{H}}, \varrho, \vartheta) \ \simeq \ (\mathfrak{A}_\chi, \tau, \xi) \ .$$

Remark 4.11. *Examples of Hilbert net bundles* $\mathfrak{H}, \mathfrak{H}'$ *with non-isomorphic special unitary bundles but such that* $\mathfrak{c}_1(\mathfrak{H}) = c_1(\mathfrak{H}')$ *can be easily constructed (see below). By Prop.4.6 we conclude that despite* $\mathbf{SU}\mathfrak{H}$ *and* $\mathbf{SU}\mathfrak{H}'$ *are not isomorphic, there can be an isomorphism*

$$(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{H}}^{\mathbf{SU}\mathfrak{H}}, \varrho, \vartheta) \ \simeq \ (\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{H}'}^{\mathbf{SU}\mathfrak{H}'}, \varrho', \vartheta') \ .$$

Let us consider the case $\pi_1(K) = \mathbb{Z}$. This occurs in interesting examples in which K is a base for the topology of the 1–sphere S^1, anti-de Sitter spacetimes $adS_n \simeq S^1 \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$, $n > 1$, and de Sitter spacetime $dS_2 \simeq S^1 \times \mathbb{R}$. Now, any $\chi \in \mathrm{hom}(\mathbb{Z},\mathbb{T})$ is uniquely determined by $\chi(1) \in \mathbb{T}$ so we find

$$\mathbf{bun}(K; \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{SU}(d)}, \rho, \theta) \ \simeq \ \mathrm{hom}(\mathbb{Z},\mathbb{T}) \ \simeq \ \mathbb{T} \ .$$

On the other side, any $\chi \in \mathrm{hom}(\mathbb{Z},\mathbb{U}(d))$ decomposes into a direct sum $\chi = \oplus_k^d \chi_k$, where $\chi_k \in \mathrm{hom}(\mathbb{Z},\mathbb{T})$. Clearly, changing the order of the χ_k does not change the class of χ in $\underline{\mathrm{hom}}(\mathbb{Z},\mathbb{U}(d))$; thus, applying (4.12) with $G = \{1\}$, we conclude that

$$\underline{\mathbf{bun}}(K; \mathcal{O}_d, \sigma, \theta) \ \simeq \ \underline{\mathrm{hom}}(\mathbb{Z},\mathbb{U}(d)) \ \simeq \ \mathbb{T}^d/\mathbb{P}_d \ ,$$

where $\mathbb{T}^d/\mathbb{P}_d$ is the quotient of the torus $\mathbb{T}^d$ under the action of the permutation group $\mathbb{P}_d$. Hence the first Chern class is given by the (non-injective!) epimorphism

$$\mathfrak{c}_1 : \mathbb{T}^d/\mathbb{P}_d \to \mathbb{T} \quad , \quad \mathfrak{c}_1[z_1, \ldots, z_d] := \prod_k z_k \ .$$

Absence of the lift. Let $G \simeq \mathbb{T}$ be the torus acting on the Hilbert space H of dimension $d > 1$, so that $NG = \mathbb{U}(d)$ and $QG = \mathbb{PU}(d)$, the projective unitary group. We consider a finite group Γ with nontrivial cohomology $H^2(\Gamma,\mathbb{T})$ (for example, the permutation group $\mathbb{P}_n$, $n \geq 4$, see [13, Theorem 2.9]) and a projective representation $\chi : \Gamma \to \mathbb{PU}(d)$ with nontrivial class $\delta_\chi \in H^2(\Gamma, \mathbb{T})$, so that we cannot find a lift $\widetilde{\chi} : \Gamma \to \mathbb{U}(d)$. By the Eilenberg-McLane construction ([12, §1.B]) there is a space M with $\pi_1(M) = \Gamma$; picking a good base Δ for M (which exists by [12, Prop.A.4]) and using the isomorphism $\Gamma = \pi_1(\Delta) \simeq \pi_1(M)$ we get the desired class of examples, by means of the one-to-one correspondence

$$\mathrm{hom}(\Gamma, \mathbb{PU}(d)) \to \mathbf{bun}(\Delta; \mathcal{O}_G, \rho, \theta) \quad , \quad \chi \mapsto (\mathfrak{A}_\chi, \tau, \xi) \ .$$

5 Symmetric tensor presheaves: invariants and Tannaka duality.

In this section we study simple, symmetric tensor presheaves $\mathfrak{T}$. As a first step we show that any section $\varrho \in \mathbf{obj}\ \widetilde{\mathfrak{T}}$ defines a holonomy representation $\chi_\varrho : \pi_1(K) \to QG_\varrho := NG_\varrho / G_\varrho$ describing the category of tensor powers of ϱ, where $G_\varrho \subseteq \mathbb{U}(d)$ is a suitable compact Lie group. When K is a base, applying the equivalence with the category of flat bundles (§A) we assign to ϱ Cheeger-Chern-Simons classes describing nontriviality of χ_ϱ in geometric terms. The second step shall be our main result, in which we prove that any embedding of $\mathfrak{T}$ defines a "gauge" group net bundle, the analogue of the dual group of Tannaka duality. Existence and unicity of the embedding are not ensured due to the lifting problem for the holonomies χ_ϱ, $\varrho \in \mathbf{obj}\ \widetilde{\mathfrak{T}}$, and we describe this situation in terms of a non-abelian 1–cocycle with coefficients in the crossed module $G_\varrho \to NG_\varrho$. Clearly, passing to the opposite poset our results apply to simple, symmetric tensor nets.

Presheaves of tensor C^*-categories and their invariants. The following notion is a categorical counterpart of the one of conjugate representation of a compact group ([7, §1]), and is motivated by the correspondence particle-antiparticle ([9, Eq.3.21-3.22]): a tensor C^*-category $T_\otimes$ is said to have *conjugates* whenever for any $\rho \in \mathbf{obj}\ T$ there is $\overline{\rho} \in \mathbf{obj}\ T$ and $R \in (\iota, \overline{\rho}\rho)$, $\overline{R} \in (\iota, \rho\overline{\rho})$ solving the *conjugate equations*

$$(\overline{R}^* \otimes 1_\rho) \circ (1_\rho \otimes R) = 1_\rho \quad , \quad (R^* \otimes 1_{\overline{\rho}}) \circ (1_{\overline{\rho}} \otimes \overline{R}) = 1_{\overline{\rho}} \ , \tag{5.1}$$

where ι is the identity object of T.

A simple, symmetric tensor C^*-category $D_{\otimes,\epsilon}$ having conjugates, direct sums and subobjects is called a *DR-category.* By [7, Theorem 6.9] there is a symmetric tensor C^*-monofunctor $J : D_{\otimes,\epsilon} \to$ **Hilb**, unique up to unitary tensor natural transformations, such that $J(D_{\otimes,\epsilon})$ is the dual of a compact group G (that is, the subcategory of **Hilb** with arrows G-equivariant linear operators).

Definition 5.1. *A simple, symmetric tensor presheaf $\mathfrak{T} = (T, r, \otimes, \xi)^K$ such that the section category $\widetilde{\mathfrak{T}}$ is a DR-category is called a* ***DR-presheaf****.*

By Prop.3.4, if $\mathfrak{T}$ is a DR-presheaf then also the associated presheaf bundle ${}_\beta\mathfrak{T}$ is a DR-presheaf.

Lemma 5.2. *Let $\mathfrak{T}$ be a DR-presheaf and ${}_\beta F := {}_\beta T_a$ denote the typical fibre of ${}_\beta\mathfrak{T}$. Then there are, unique up to isomorphisms, a compact group G and a symmetric tensor C^*-monofunctor*

$$I : {}_\beta F \to \mathbf{Hilb} \ ,$$

such that $I({}_\beta F)$ is a full subcategory of the dual of G.

Proof. We already know that ${}_\beta F$ is a simple symmetric tensor C^*-category, so, as a first step, we prove that ${}_\beta F$ has conjugates. To this end we note that, given $\varrho^a \in \mathbf{obj}\ {}_\beta F$ (that is, $\varrho \in \mathbf{obj}\ \widetilde{\mathfrak{T}}$), by hypothesis there is a triple $\overline{\varrho} \in \mathbf{obj}\ \widetilde{\mathfrak{T}}$, $R \in (i, \overline{\varrho}\varrho)$, $\overline{R} \in (i, \varrho\overline{\varrho})$ solving (5.1) in $\widetilde{\mathfrak{T}}$, so the triple $\overline{\varrho}^a$, R_a, $\overline{R}_a$ solves (5.1) in ${}_\beta F$. This proves that ${}_\beta F$ has conjugates. Moreover ${}_\beta F$ has direct sums, in fact given $\varrho^a, \varsigma^a \in \mathbf{obj}\ {}_\beta F$ there is a direct sum $\tau \in \mathbf{obj}\ \widetilde{\mathfrak{T}}$ with orthogonal isometries $V_\varrho \in (\varrho, \tau)$, $V_\varsigma \in (\varsigma, \tau)$, so considering the evaluations $V_{\varrho,a}$, $V_{\varsigma,a}$ we have that τ^a is a direct sum of $\varrho^a, \varsigma^a \in \mathbf{obj}\ {}_\beta F$. In general ${}_\beta F$ may be not closed under subobjects: so we pass to its closure ${}_\beta \overline{F}$, having objects projections of ${}_\beta(\varrho^a, \varsigma^a)$, $\forall \varrho^a, \varsigma^a \in \mathbf{obj}\ {}_\beta F$. Routine computations ([17, Theorem 2.4]) show that ${}_\beta \overline{F}$ has conjugates (besides direct sums and subobjects), so it is a DR-category and we get the desired compact group G and embedding I. Their unicity follows by the fact that ${}_\beta F$ is unique up to isomorphism. □

We now consider the subpresheaf bundle ${}_\beta \widehat{\varrho}$ of ${}_\beta \mathfrak{T}$ with fibres the full subcategories ${}_\beta \widehat{\varrho}^o \subseteq {}_\beta T_o$, $o \in K$, of tensor powers of $\varrho^o \in \mathbf{obj}\ {}_\beta T_o$. Before to give the following result we recall the reader to the notation of Theorem 4.5.

Theorem 5.3 (Holonomy). *Let $\mathfrak{T} = (T, r, \otimes, \xi)^K$ be a DR-presheaf. Then for any section $\varrho \in$ $\mathbf{obj}\ \widetilde{\mathfrak{T}}$ there is a compact Lie group $G_\varrho \subseteq \mathbb{U}(d)$ such that ${}_\beta \widehat{\varrho} \in \mathbf{pbun}^\uparrow(K, \widehat{\pi}_{G_\varrho;\otimes,\theta})$, and a morphism $\chi_\varrho : \pi_1(K) \to QG_\varrho := NG_\varrho / G_\varrho$, such that ${}_\beta \widehat{\varrho}$ has holonomy χ_ϱ.*

Proof. In the following we maintain the notation of the previous Lemma and of Prop.3.4. Let $\varrho \in \mathbf{obj}\ \widetilde{\mathfrak{T}}$. By the previous Lemma there are a G-Hilbert space H_ϱ and a compact Lie group G_ϱ, the image of G under the G-action on H_ϱ, such that $f \in {}_\beta(\varrho^{a,r}, \varrho^{a,s})$, $r, s \in \mathbb{N}$, if and only if $I(f)$ is a G_ϱ-invariant operator from the tensor power H_ϱ^r to H_ϱ^s, that is, we have an isomorphism ${}_\beta \widehat{\varrho}^a_{\otimes,\xi} \simeq \widehat{\pi}_{G_\varrho;\otimes,\theta}$. If $\gamma \in K(a)$ then we define $Z_\gamma \in \mathbf{aut}_\beta F_{\otimes,\epsilon}$,

$$Z_\gamma := {}_\beta r_{a,|b_n|} \circ {}_\beta r_{|b_n|,\partial_1 b_n} \circ \ldots \circ {}_\beta r_{\partial_0 b_1,|b_1|} \circ {}_\beta r_{|b_1|,a} \ , \tag{5.2}$$

where $\gamma := (b_n, \ldots, b_1)$. For any $\varsigma^a \in \mathbf{obj}\ {}_\beta F$ we have

$$Z_\gamma(\varrho^a) = \varrho^a \quad , \quad Z_\gamma(f) \in {}_\beta(\varrho^a, \varsigma^a) \subseteq (\varrho_a, \varsigma_a) \quad , \quad \forall f \in {}_\beta(\varrho^a, \varsigma^a) \ . \tag{5.3}$$

By [7, Theorem 6.9] there is a unitary, tensor natural transformation $u(\gamma) \in (I, I \circ Z_\gamma)$, defining unitaries $u_\varrho(\gamma) \in UH_\varrho$, $\forall \varrho^a \in \mathbf{obj}\ {}_\beta F$, such that

$$\{I \circ Z_\gamma\}(f) = u_\sigma(\gamma) \circ I(f) \circ u_\varrho(\gamma) \quad , \quad \forall f \in {}_\beta(\varrho^a, \varsigma^a) \ . \tag{5.4}$$

In particular,

$$\{I \circ Z_\gamma\}(f) = \widehat{u}_\varrho(\gamma) \circ I(f) \quad , \quad f \in {}_\beta(\varrho^{a,r}, \varrho^{a,s}) \ , \ r, s \in \mathbb{N} \ , \tag{5.5}$$

where $\widehat{u}_\varrho(\gamma)$ is the autofunctor defined by (4.10). Now, the l.h.s. of (5.5) is a symmetric tensor *-isofunctor from ${}_\beta \widehat{\varrho}^a$ onto $\widehat{\pi}_{G_\varrho}$, so $\widehat{u}_\varrho(\gamma)$ restricts to a symmetric tensor *-autofunctor of $\widehat{\pi}_{G_\varrho}$ and by (4.11) we have $u_\varrho(\gamma) \in NG$, $\forall \gamma \in K(a)$. On the other side, the l.h.s. of (5.5) preserves the composition of paths and does not depend on the choice of $\gamma \in K(a)$ in its homotopy class, thus the map

$$\pi_1(K) \to \mathbf{aut}\widehat{\pi}_{G_\varrho;\otimes,\theta} \quad , \quad [\gamma] \mapsto \widehat{u}_\varrho(\gamma)|_{\widehat{\pi}_{G_\varrho}} \ , \tag{5.6}$$

is well-defined and yields (up to the isomorphism with $\widehat{\pi}_{G_\varrho}$) a holonomy for ${}_\beta \widehat{\varrho}$. Applying (4.11) we obtain the desired holonomy

$$\chi_\varrho : \pi_1(K) \to QG_\varrho \quad : \quad \widehat{\chi}_\varrho(\gamma) := q(u_\varrho(\gamma)) \ , \ \forall \gamma \in K(a) \ . \tag{5.7}$$

Clearly changing the typical fibre yields a group G'_ϱ isomorphic to G_ϱ and an equivalent holonomy. □

Remark 5.4. *By Theorem 4.5* χ_ϱ *defines a symmetric C*-net bundle* $\mathfrak{A}_\varrho \in \mathbf{bun}(K;\mathcal{O}_{G_\varrho},\rho,\theta)$*, that is the analogue of the Doplicher-Roberts C*-algebra [7, §4].*

Cohomological aspects of holonomy. By (3.13) the map (5.7) yields a principal net QG_ϱ-bundle that we denote by $\mathfrak{P}_\varrho$. We say that $\varrho \in \mathbf{obj}\,\widetilde{\mathfrak{T}}$ is *liftable* whenever χ_ϱ has a lift to NG_ϱ. The condition that ϱ is liftable translates, by Theorem 4.9, in the fact that there is a $\mathfrak{G}$-Hilbert net bundle $\mathfrak{H}$, with $\mathfrak{G}$ having fibre G_ϱ, such that $\mathfrak{A}_\varrho \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{H}}^{\mathfrak{G}}$.

In the following result we show that lifts of χ_ρ can be characterized in terms of a non-abelian 1–cocycle with values in the crossed module $G_\varrho \to NG_\varrho$ (see [24, §4]).

Theorem 5.5 (Gerbes). *Any section* ϱ *of a DR-presheaf defines the cochain*

$$\mathbf{u}_\varrho : \Sigma_1(K) \to NG_\varrho \quad : \quad d\mathbf{u}_\varrho(c) := \mathbf{u}_\varrho(\partial_0 c)\mathbf{u}_\varrho(\partial_2 c)\mathbf{u}_\varrho(\partial_1 c)^* \in G_\varrho \ , \ \forall c \in \Sigma_2(K) \ .$$

(Eventual) lifts of χ_ρ *are in one-to-one correspondence with pairs* (v,g)*,* $v : \Sigma_0(K) \to NG_\varrho$*,* $g : \Sigma_1(K) \to G_\varrho$*, such that*

$$z(b) := v(\partial_0 b)g(b)\mathbf{u}_\varrho(b)v(\partial_1 b)^* \ \in NG_\varrho \quad , \quad \forall b \in \Sigma_1(K) \ , \tag{5.8}$$

is a cocycle, i.e. $dz(c) = 1$*,* $\forall c \in \Sigma_2(K)$*.*

Proof. For any $b \in \Sigma_1(K)$ we define $\overline{b}$ as the 1-simplex with $\partial_0\overline{b} = \partial_1 b$, $\partial_1\overline{b} = \partial_0 b$, $|\overline{b}| = |b|$, note that any $c \in \Sigma_2(K)$ yields the path $\gamma_c := (\partial_0 c, \partial_2 c, \overline{\partial_1 c})$, $\gamma_c : \partial_{00}c \to \partial_{00}c$, and then pick a path frame $\gamma_a = \{\gamma_{ao} : o \to a\}$. In this way we define

$$\mathbf{u}_\varrho(b) \ := \ u_\varrho(\gamma_{a\partial_0 b} * b * \gamma_{a\partial_1 b}^{-1}) \quad , \quad \forall b \in \Sigma_1(K) \ ,$$

where $u_\varrho : K(a) \to NG_\varrho$ is the map defined by (5.4). We have

$$d\mathbf{u}_\varrho(c) = u_\varrho(\widetilde{\gamma}_c) \quad , \quad \widetilde{\gamma}_c := \gamma_{a\partial_{00}c} * \gamma_c * \gamma_{a\partial_{00}c}^{-1} \ ;$$

but since γ_c is homotopic to the trivial path $\iota_{\partial_{00}c} \in K(\partial_{00}c)$, we conclude that $\widetilde{\gamma}_c$ is homotopic to the trivial path ι_a. This implies, since $\widehat{u}_\varrho|_{\widehat{\pi}_{G_\varrho}} : K(a) \to \mathbf{aut}\widehat{\pi}_{G_\varrho}$ factorizes through $\pi_1(K)$, that $\widehat{u}_\varrho(\gamma_{a\partial_{00}c} * \gamma_c * \gamma_{a\partial_{00}c}^{-1})$ restricts to the identity of $\widehat{\pi}_{G_\varrho}$, i.e., by (4.11), $d\mathbf{u}_\varrho(c) = u_\varrho(\widetilde{\gamma}_c) \in G_\varrho$ as desired. Let now (v,g) be a pair such that the cochain z of (5.8) is a cocycle. Then we have

$$q \circ \mathbf{u}_\varrho(\gamma) \ = \ q(v(a)) \cdot q \circ z(\gamma) \cdot q(v(a)) \in QG_\varrho \quad , \quad \forall \gamma : a \to a \ ,$$

so χ_ϱ has lift $\widetilde{\chi}_\varrho(\gamma) := v(a)^* z(\gamma) v(a)$ as desired. On the converse, if χ_ϱ has lift $\widetilde{\chi}_\varrho$ then by the equivalence of Theorem 3.2 and (3.6) there is a cocycle $z(b) \in NG_\varrho$, $b \in \Sigma_1(K)$, such that there is $w : \Sigma_0(K) \to QG_\varrho$ with $q \circ \mathbf{u}_\varrho(b) = w(\partial_0 b) \cdot q \circ z(b) \cdot w(\partial_1 b)^*$. For any $a \in K$ we pick $v(a) \in NG_\varrho$ such that $q(v(a)^*) = w(a)$, so

$$q \circ \mathbf{u}_\varrho(b) \ = \ q(\ v(\partial_0 b)^* \cdot z(b) \cdot v(\partial_1 b) \ ) \quad , \quad \forall b \in \Sigma_1(K) \ ,$$

This proves that there is $g : \Sigma_1(K) \to G_\varrho$ such that (5.8) holds, as desired. $\square$

NG_ϱ-cocycles z, z' fulfilling (5.8) are not necessarily cohomologous, in fact they may define inequivalent NG_ϱ-holonomies as we saw in the case $G_\varrho = \mathbb{SU}(d)$ (see Prop.4.10 and following remarks). It is easy to verify that a change $a \to a'$ of the standard fibre (or of the path frame γ_a) yields a cochain $\mathbf{u}'_\varrho : \Sigma_1(K) \to NG'_\varrho$ with an isomorphism $\beta : NG_\varrho \to NG'_\varrho$ such that $\mathbf{u}'_\varrho = \beta \circ \mathbf{u}_\varrho$.

Cheeger-Chern-Simons classes. When M is a manifold with a good base Δ the role of $\mathfrak{P}_\varrho$ can be played by the flat principal QG_ϱ-bundle $\mathcal{P}_\varrho \to M$ associated with $\mathfrak{P}_\varrho$ in the sense of (A.3).

Let now $\phi \in \mathbb{R}[x_1, \ldots, x_d]$ be a G_ϱ-invariant polynomial of degree $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then ϕ defines a characteristic class assigning to any principal G_ϱ-bundle a closed $2k$-form,

$$c_\phi : \mathbf{Pr}(M, G_\varrho) \to Z^{2k}_{deRham}(M)$$

(see [16, Vol.2,§XII.1]). We say that c_ϕ has coefficients in $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Q}$ whenever

$$\int_\zeta c_\phi(\mathcal{P}) \in \mathbb{K} \quad , \quad \forall \mathcal{P} \in \mathbf{Pr}(M, G_\varrho)$$

and singular $2k$-cycle $\zeta \in Z_{2k}(M)$, and denote the set of G_ϱ-invariant polynomials of degree k defining a class with coefficients in $\mathbb{K}$ by $I^k(G_\varrho; \mathbb{K})$. Now, Cheeger and Simons showed that there is a singular $(2k-1)$-cochain $c^\uparrow_\phi(\mathcal{P})$ with coefficients in $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{K}$, such that

$$\left\langle c^\uparrow_\phi(\mathcal{P}), \partial \ell \right\rangle \; = \; \int_\ell c_\phi(\mathcal{P}) \ \mathrm{mod}\mathbb{K} \quad , \quad \forall \ell \in C_{2k}(M) \ ,$$

where $C_{2k}(M)$ is the set of singular $2k$-chains and $\partial : C_{2k}(M) \to Z_{2k-1}(M)$ is the boundary. When $\mathcal{P}$ is flat $c_\phi(\mathcal{P}) = 0$ ([16, Vol.2, §XII.1]) and the previous equality says that $c^\uparrow_\phi(\mathcal{P})$ vanishes on $\partial C_{2k}(M)$. So it is a cocycle whose cohomology class

$$[c^\uparrow_\phi(\mathcal{P})] \in H^{2k-1}(M, \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{K})$$

is called the *Cheeger-Chern-Simons* secondary class of $c^\uparrow_\phi$ (see [3, Theorem 1.1]). For example, we may take the Chern polynomials $\phi_k \in I^k(\mathbb{U}(d); \mathbb{Z})$ (see [16, Vol.2,§XII.3]; note that $I^k(\mathbb{U}(d); \mathbb{Z}) \subseteq I^k(G, \mathbb{Z})$ for any Lie group $G \subseteq \mathbb{U}(d)$).

Returning to our $\varrho \in \mathbf{obj}\, \widetilde{\mathfrak{T}}$, we define the Chern classes $c_k(\varrho) := [c^\uparrow_{\phi_k}(\mathcal{P}_\varrho)] \in H^{2k-1}(M, \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})$, $k = 1, \ldots, d$, and the Chern character ([3, Theorem 8.22])

$$ccs(\varrho) := d + \sum_{k=1}^{d} \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} \ c_k(\varrho)_{\mathrm{mod}\mathbb{Q}} \ \in \mathbb{Z} \oplus H^{odd}(M, \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Q}) \ , \tag{5.9}$$

where $c_k(\varrho)_{\mathrm{mod}\mathbb{Q}}$ is the quotient mod $\mathbb{Q}$. By construction $ccs(\varrho) = d$ when χ_ϱ is trivial.

Duality theory. In this paragraph we approach the Tannaka duality for presheaves of symmetric tensor C^*-categories. Before to proceed we give the following notion: an *embedding* is a monomorphism of simple, symmetric tensor presheaves

$$I : \mathfrak{T}_{\otimes,\xi} \to \mathfrak{C}_{\otimes,\vartheta} \ ,$$

where $\mathfrak{C} = (C, R, \otimes, \vartheta)_K$ is a Hilbert presheaf. Composing the induced functor $\widetilde{I} : \widetilde{\mathfrak{T}}_{\otimes,\xi} \to \widetilde{\mathfrak{C}}_{\otimes,\vartheta}$ with (3.25) we have that any $\varrho \in \mathbf{obj}\, \widetilde{\mathfrak{T}}$ defines the Hilbert net bundle $\mathfrak{H}_\varrho = (H_\varrho, R^\varrho)_K$, where $H_{\varrho,a} := I_a(\varrho_a)$, $a \in K$, and $R^\varrho_{a'a} : H_{\varrho,a} \to H_{\varrho,a'}$, $a \leq a'$, is defined as in (3.22). Moreover, any $t \in (\varrho, \varsigma)$ defines the morphism $\widetilde{I}(t) \in (\mathfrak{H}_\varrho, \mathfrak{H}_\varsigma)$. Let now $\varrho, \varsigma \in \mathbf{obj}\, \widetilde{\mathfrak{T}}$ and $f' \in {}_\beta(\varrho^{a'}, \varsigma^{a'}) \subseteq (\varrho_{a'}, \varsigma_{a'})$. Defining $f := {}_\beta r_{aa'}(f')$ we find $I_a(f) = R_{aa'} \circ I_{a'}(f')$, so applying (3.24) we have

$$R^\varsigma_{a'a} \circ I_a(f) \circ R^\varrho_{aa'} \; = \; I_{a'}(f') \ . \tag{5.10}$$

We now consider the groups of unitary tensor natural transformations G_a, $a \in K$, having elements families $u^a := \{ u^a_\varrho \in UH_{\varrho,a} \}_{\varrho \in \mathbf{obj} \, \widetilde{\mathfrak{T}}}$ such that

$$I_a(f) \circ u^a_\varrho = u^a_\varsigma \circ I_a(f) \quad , \quad u^a_\varrho \otimes_a u^a_\varsigma = u^a_{\varrho\varsigma} \quad , \quad \forall f \in {}_\beta(\varrho^a, \varsigma^a) \ . \tag{5.11}$$

By construction any G_a, $a \in K$, is the Tannaka dual of $I_a({}_\beta T_a)$ ([7, Remarks after Lemma 6.2]), so it is a compact group.

Theorem 5.6 (Tannaka duality)**.** *Let $\mathfrak{T} = (T, r, \otimes, \xi)^K$ be a simple, symmetric tensor presheaf and $I : \mathfrak{T}_{\otimes,\xi} \to \mathfrak{C}_{\otimes,\vartheta}$ an embedding. Then there is a group net bundle $\mathfrak{G} = (G, i)_K$ with a full symmetric tensor C*-monofunctor $\widetilde{\mathfrak{T}} \to \mathbf{bun}_{\mathfrak{G}}(K, \mathbf{Hilb})$.*

Proof. For any $a \leq a'$ we define the family of group isomorphisms

$$\mathrm{ad} R^\varrho_{aa'} : UH_{\varrho,a'} \to UH_{\varrho,a} \ , \ u \mapsto R^\varrho_{aa'} \circ u \circ R^\varrho_{a'a} \quad , \quad \varrho \in \mathbf{obj} \ \widetilde{\mathfrak{T}} \ .$$

Let $\varrho, \varsigma \in \mathbf{obj} \ \widetilde{\mathfrak{T}}$. Then, with the notation of Prop.3.4, for any $a \leq a' \in K$ and $f \in {}_\beta(\varrho^a, \varsigma^a)$ there is a unique $f' \in {}_\beta(\varrho^{a'}, \varsigma^{a'})$ such that $f = r_{aa'}(f')$, i.e. $f' = {}_\beta r_{a'a}(f)$, where ${}_\beta r_{a'a} := {}_\beta r^{-1}_{aa'}$. For any $u^a \in G_a$, we find

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{a'}(f') \circ \{ \mathrm{ad} R^\varrho_{a'a}(u^a_\varrho) \} \ &= \ I_{a'}({}_\beta r_{a'a}(f)) \circ \{ \mathrm{ad} R^\varrho_{a'a}(u^a_\varrho) \} \\
&\overset{(5.10)}{=} \ \{ R^\varsigma_{a'a} \circ I_a(f) \circ R^\varrho_{aa'} \} \circ \mathrm{ad} R^\varrho_{a'a}(u^a_\varrho) = \\
&= \ R^\varsigma_{a'a} \circ I_a(f) \circ u^a_\varrho \circ R^\varrho_{aa'} = \\
&\overset{(5.11)}{=} \ R^\varsigma_{a'a} \circ u^a_\varsigma \circ I_a(f) \circ R^\varrho_{aa'} = \\
&= \ \{ \mathrm{ad} R^\varsigma_{a'a}(u^a_\varsigma) \} \circ \{ R^\varsigma_{a'a} \circ I_a(f) \circ R^\varrho_{aa'} \} = \\
&= \ \{ \mathrm{ad} R^\varsigma_{a'a}(u^a_\varsigma) \} \circ I_{a'}(f') \ ,
\end{aligned}$$

and, applying (3.23),

$$\{ \mathrm{ad} R^\varrho_{a'a}(u^a_\varrho) \} \otimes_{a'} \{ \mathrm{ad} R^\varsigma_{a'a}(u^a_\varsigma) \} \ = \ \mathrm{ad} R^{\varrho\varsigma}_{a'a}(u^a_{\varrho\varsigma}) \ .$$

The previous computations say that $i_{a'a} u^a := \{ \mathrm{ad} R^\varrho_{a'a}(u^a_\varrho) \}_\varrho$ belongs to $G_{a'}$ so, since $i := \{ i_{a'a} \}$ fulfils the net relations and is one-to-one, we have the group net bundle $\mathfrak{G} = (G, i)_K$. For each $\varrho \in \mathbf{obj} \ \widetilde{\mathfrak{T}}$ we define the family α_ϱ of unitary representations

$$\alpha_{\varrho,o} : G_o \to UH_{\varrho,o} \quad , \quad u^o \mapsto u^\varrho_o \ ;$$

since

$$\{ \alpha_{\varrho,o'} \circ i_{o'o}(u^o) \} \circ R^\varrho_{o'o} = \mathrm{ad} R^\varrho_{o'o}(u^o_\varrho) \circ R^\varrho_{o'o} = R^\varrho_{o'o} \circ u^o_\varrho = R^\varrho_{o'o} \circ \alpha_{\varrho,o}(u^o) \ ,$$

we have that (3.14) is fulfilled, so α is a gauge action of $\mathfrak{G}$ on $\mathfrak{H}_\varrho$. This means that $\mathfrak{H}_\varrho \in \mathbf{obj} \ \mathbf{bun}_{\mathfrak{G}}(K, \mathbf{Hilb})$. At the level of arrows, if $t \in (\varrho, \varsigma)$ then $I(t) \in (\mathfrak{H}_\varrho, \mathfrak{H}_\varsigma)$ and $t_a \in {}_\beta(\varrho^a, \varsigma^a)$ for all $a \in K$; by definition of G_a we have

$$I_a({}_\beta(\varrho^a, \varsigma^a)) = (H_{\varrho,a}, H_{\varsigma,a})_{G_a} \quad , \quad \forall a \in K \ , \tag{5.12}$$

so $I_a(t_a) \in (H_{\varrho,a}, H_{\varsigma_a})_{G_a}$, and $I(t) \in (\mathfrak{H}_\varrho, \mathfrak{H}_\varsigma)_{\mathfrak{G}}$. Finally, if $T \in (\mathfrak{H}_\varrho, \mathfrak{H}_\varsigma)_{\mathfrak{G}}$ and $o \in K$ then by (5.12) we have $T_o = I_o(t_o)$ for a unique $t_o \in {}_\beta(\varrho^o, \varsigma^o)$; applying (5.10) and using the fact that, by definition, $T_{o'} = R^\varsigma_{o'o} \circ T_o \circ R^\varrho_{oo'}$, $\forall o \leq o'$, we find

$$I_{o'}(t_{o'}) = T_{o'} = R^\varsigma_{o'o} \circ T_o \circ R^\varrho_{oo'} = R^\varsigma_{o'o} \circ I_o(t_o) \circ R^\varrho_{oo'} = I_{o'} \circ r_{o'o}(t_o) \ .$$

By injectivity of $I_{o'}$ we conclude that $t_{o'} = r_{o'o}(t_o)$ for all $o \leq o'$, thus $t := \{ t_o \}$ belongs to (ϱ, ς). We conclude that $(\mathfrak{H}_\varrho, \mathfrak{H}_\varsigma)_{\mathfrak{G}} = \widetilde{I}(\varrho, \varsigma)$ and this proves the theorem. $\square$

The following result gives a necessary condition to the existence of embeddings.

Corollary 5.7. *If the DR-presheaf $\mathfrak{T}$ has an embedding then every $\varrho \in \mathbf{obj}\, \widetilde{\mathfrak{T}}$ is liftable.*

Proof. Let $\varrho \in \mathbf{obj}\, \widetilde{\mathfrak{T}}$. We define Z_γ, $\gamma \in K(a)$, as in (5.2) and consider the holonomy of $\mathfrak{H}_\varrho$,

$$z_\varrho(\gamma) := R^\varrho_{a,|b_n|} \circ R^\varrho_{|b_n|,\partial_0 b_n} \cdots R^\varrho_{\partial_0 b_1,|b_1|} \circ R^\varrho_{|b_1|,a} \quad , \quad \gamma := b_n * \cdots * b_1 \in K(a) \ . \tag{5.13}$$

Applying repeatedly (5.10) we find

$$\{I_a \circ Z_\gamma\}(f) = \widehat{z}_\varrho(\gamma) \circ I_a(f) \quad , \quad \forall f \in {}_\beta(\varrho^{a,r}, \varsigma^{a,s}) \ , \ r,s \in \mathbb{N} \ ,$$

where $\widehat{z}_\varrho(\gamma)$ is the autofunctor of the category of tensor powers of $H_{\varrho,a}$ defined as in (4.10). Comparing with (5.5) and recalling (5.7) we conclude that

$$\widehat{z}_\varrho(\gamma) \,|\, \widehat{\pi}_{G_\varrho} \ = \ \widehat{\chi}_\varrho(\gamma) \quad , \quad \forall \gamma : a \to a \ ,$$

and by (4.11) this happens if, and only if, z_ϱ takes values in NG_ϱ and $\chi_\varrho = q \circ z_\varrho$. □

A comment to the previous corollary. As we saw, any $\varrho \in \mathbf{obj}\, \widetilde{\mathfrak{T}}$ defines the symmetric tensor net subbundle ${}_\beta\widehat{\varrho}$ of ${}_\beta\mathfrak{T}_{\otimes,\xi}$. As showed in the proof of Theorem 5.3, any fibre ${}_\beta\widehat{\varrho}_a$, $a \in K$, is isomorphic to the category $\widehat{\pi}_{G_\varrho}$ of tensor powers of the defining representation $\pi_{G_\varrho} : G_\varrho \to \mathbb{U}(d)$, so by Theorem 4.5 and Theorem 4.9 there is an embedding $I_\varrho : {}_\beta\widehat{\varrho}_{\otimes,\xi} \to \mathfrak{C}_{\otimes,\vartheta}$ if and only if ϱ is liftable. Thus, the examples of §4 show that in general we cannot expect that existence and unicity of I hold.

6 The gerbe perspective.

In this section we explain what we mean by a gerbe over a poset, and show how this enters in the game in the scenario of section categories. Given the group G and the poset K, a G-*gerbe* over K is a pair $\check{\mathfrak{G}} = (i,\delta)_K$, such that

$$i : \Sigma_1(K) \to \mathbf{aut}G \quad , \quad \delta : \Sigma_2(K) \to G \quad : \quad \mathrm{ad}\delta_c \circ i_{\partial_1 c} = i_{\partial_0 c} \circ i_{\partial_2 c} \ , \ \forall c \in \Sigma_2(K) \ . \tag{6.1}$$

The map δ encodes the obstruction to constructing the net bundle $\mathfrak{G} := (\{Y_a \equiv G\}, i)_K$, that is well-defined when $\delta_c \equiv 1$. In precise terms, $(i,\delta)_K$ is a non-abelian 1-cocycle with values in the crossed module $G \to \mathbf{aut}G$ (see [24, §4] and related references).

In this paper we already encountered group gerbes. If ϱ is a section of the DR-presheaf $\mathfrak{T}$ then, applying the adjoint action to the cochain $\mathbf{u}_\varrho : \Sigma_1(K) \to NG_\varrho \subseteq \mathbb{U}(d)$ of Theorem 5.5, we find that (6.1) is fulfilled by

$$i_b := \mathrm{ad}\mathbf{u}_\varrho(b)|_{G_\varrho} \quad , \quad \delta_c := d\mathbf{u}_\varrho(c) \quad , \quad \forall b \in \Sigma_1(K) \ , \ c \in \Sigma_2(K) \ . \tag{6.2}$$

Let us now define the sets

$$N_1(K) := \{b = \{b_0 \leq |b| \in K\}\} \quad , \quad N_2(K) := \{c = \{c_0 \leq c_1 \leq |c| \in K\}\} \ ;$$

as remarked in [21, §2.2], there are inclusions $N_1(K) \subseteq \Sigma_1(K)$, $N_2(K) \subseteq \Sigma_2(K)$. A $\check{\mathfrak{G}}$-*gerbe of* C*-*algebras* is a triple $\check{\mathfrak{F}} = (F,\jmath,\alpha)_K$, where $F = \{F_o\}$ is a family of C*-algebras with actions $\alpha_o : G \to \mathbf{aut}F_o$, $o \in K$, and $\jmath = \{\jmath_{o'o} : F_o \to F_{o'}\}_{o \leq o'}$ is a family of *-monomorphisms such that, for any $b \in N_1(K)$, $c \in N_2(K)$, $g \in G$,

$$\jmath_{|c|c_1} \circ \jmath_{c_1 c_0} = \alpha_{|c|}(\delta_c) \circ \jmath_{|c|c_0} \quad , \quad \jmath_{|b|b_0} \circ \alpha_{b_0}(g) = \alpha_{|b|}(i_b(g)) \circ \jmath_{|b|b_0} \ . \tag{6.3}$$

The first of (6.3) generalizes in a natural way the precosheaf relations (1.1), whilst the second one is analogous to (3.14). The previous equalities imply that the fixed point family $\mathfrak{A} = (A, \jmath)_K$, $A_a := F_a^G$, $a \in K$, is a *net* of C^*-algebras. When $\delta \equiv 1$, we have that $\check{\mathfrak{G}}$ collapses to a group net bundle, written $\mathfrak{G}$, making $\check{\mathfrak{F}}$ a $\mathfrak{G}$-*net* of C^*-algebras.

We now illustrate the construction motivating the above notions. Take the G_ϱ-gerbe $\check{\mathfrak{G}}_\varrho = (i, \delta)_K$ defined by (6.2). Then consider the constant family of C^*-algebras $F := \{F_o := \mathcal{O}_d\}$ and set

$$\jmath_{|b|b_0} := \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_\varrho(b) : F_{b_0} \to F_{|b|} \quad , \quad \alpha_o(g) := \widehat{g} \in \mathbf{aut} F_o \quad , \quad \forall b \in N_1(K) \ , \ o \in K \ , \ g \in G_\varrho \ , \tag{6.4}$$

where $\widehat{\mathbf{u}}_\varrho(b)$ is defined by applying (4.9) to $\mathbf{u}_\varrho(b) \in NG_\varrho \subseteq \mathbb{U}(d)$ and $\widehat{g} \in \mathbf{aut}\mathcal{O}_d$ is given by (4.6). As we shall see in [26], it turns out that $\check{\mathfrak{F}}_\varrho = (F, \jmath, \alpha)_K$ is a $\check{\mathfrak{G}}_\varrho$-gerbe of C^*-algebras, whose fixed-point net is isomorphic to the net $\mathfrak{A}_\varrho$ of Rem.5.4.

Acknowledgements. The author would like to thank J.E. Roberts for his interest for (an old version of) this preprint, and G. Ruzzi for fruitful discussions.

A Appendix: Locally constant bundles.

In this section we describe the equivalence between the category of locally constant bundles over the manifold M and the one of net bundles over a good base Δ for the topology of M.

Bundles. Given the topological category $\mathbf{C}$, a $\mathbf{C}$-*bundle* is given by a continuous surjective map $p : \mathcal{B} \to M$ such that each *fibre* $B_x := p^{-1}(x)$, $x \in M$, is an object of $\mathbf{C}$. A *morphism* $T \in (\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}')$ is given by a continuous map $f : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{B}'$ such that: (1) $p' \circ f = p$ (and this implies that f restricts to maps $f_x : B_x \to B'_x$, $x \in M$); (2) Each f_x, $x \in M$, is an arrow in (B_x, B'_x).

In this way we have the category $\mathbf{bun}(M, \mathbf{C})$ having objects bundles over M with fibres in $\mathbf{obj\ C}$ and arrows the above-defined morphisms.

The *constant bundle* is given by the projection $p : M \times F \to M$, $F \in \mathbf{obj\ C}$. Let $U \subset M$; we define the *restriction* $p_U : \mathcal{B}_U := p^{-1}(U) \to U$, $\mathcal{B}_U \in \mathbf{bun}(U, \mathbf{C})$, and say that $\mathcal{B}$ is *locally trivial* whenever for each $x \in M$ there is a neighborhood $U \ni x$ such that there is an isomorphism $\alpha \in (\mathcal{B}_U, U \times F)$. We denote the set of isomorphism classes of locally trivial bundles with fibre F (F-*bundles*, for short) by $\underline{\mathbf{bun}}(M, F)$, $F \in \mathbf{obj\ C}$.

Example A.1. *When* $\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{Hilb}$ *the above construction yields Hermitian vector bundles. When* $\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{C^*alg}$ *we obtain locally trivial bundles of* C^**-algebras, whose algebras of sections are continuous fields in the sense of [5, §X]. When* $\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{TopGr}$ *we have group bundles (see [14, §4]).*

Transition maps and locally constant bundles. Now, $\mathbf{aut}F$ is a topological group; if we consider a cover $\{X_i\}$ with local charts $p_i : p_i^{-1}(X_i) \to X_i \times F$, then defining $(x, u_{ij,x}(v)) := p_i \circ p_j^{-1}(x, v)$, $x \in X_{ij} := X_i \cap X_j$, $v \in F$, yields *transition maps* $u_{ij} : X_{ij} \to \mathbf{aut}F$ satisfying the *cocycle relations*

$$u_{ij}(x) \cdot u_{jk}(x) \ = \ u_{ik}(x) \quad , \quad \forall x \in X_i \cap X_j \cap X_k \ .$$

A *locally constant bundle* is a pair $(\mathcal{B}, X)$, where $\mathcal{B}$ is an F-bundle and $X := \{X_i\}$ is an open cover of M with local charts $p_i : p_i^{-1}(X_i) \to X_i \times F$ such that the associated transition maps $u_{ij} : X_{ij} \to \mathbf{aut}F$ are *locally constant.* Given the locally constant bundle $(\mathcal{B}', X')$, a morphism $f \in (\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}')$ is said to be *locally constant* whenever each map

$$f_{il} : X_i \cap X'_l \to (F, F') \quad , \quad f_{il}(x) := p'_l \circ f \circ p_i^{-1}(x) \ ,$$

is locally constant. This yields a *non-full* subcategory of $\mathbf{bun}(M, \mathbf{C})$, denoted by $\mathbf{lc}(M, \mathbf{C})$. Sometimes we will not mention the locally constant structure and will write $\mathcal{B}$ instead of $(\mathcal{B}, X)$.

We emphasize the fact that locally constant bundles $(\mathcal{B}, X), (\mathcal{B}', X')$ may be isomorphic in $\mathbf{bun}(M, \mathbf{C})$ but not in $\mathbf{lc}(M, \mathbf{C})$. Given $F \in \mathbf{obj}\ \mathbf{C}$, we denote the set of isomorphism classes of locally constant F-bundles by $\underline{\mathbf{lc}}(M, F)$.

The equivalence between locally constant bundles over M and net bundles over Δ has been proved in [21, Prop.33] using a cohomological language. The same result can proved using the notion of holonomy: if $\mathcal{B} \to M$ is a locally constant bundle with fibre F, then the monodromy $\chi_\gamma \in \mathbf{aut}F$ of a loop $\gamma : [0,1] \to M$ is homotopy invariant (this does not happen for the holonomy on generic bundles), so it induces a representation $\chi : \pi_1(M) \to \mathbf{aut}F$. This yields to an equivalence

$$\mathbf{lc}(M, \mathbf{C}) \to \mathbf{hom}(\pi_1(M), \mathbf{C}) \ , \tag{A.1}$$

whose inverse (up to isomorphism) is given by the operation of assigning to $\chi \in \hom(\pi_1(M), \mathbf{aut}F)$, $F \in \mathbf{obj}\ \mathbf{C}$, the induced bundle $\mathcal{B} := \widetilde{M} \times_\chi F$, where $\widetilde{M}$ is the universal cover of M regarded as a locally constant principal $\pi_1(M)$-bundle on M (see [15, §I.2]).

Example A.2. *The case $\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{TopGr}$ yields the category of locally constant group bundles. In particular, in the same way as in (3.13), for any compact Lie group G we may consider the right translation action $G \to \mathbf{homeo}G$ and locally constant bundles $\mathcal{G} \in \mathbf{lc}(M, G)$ admitting a holonomy representation $\pi_1(M) \to G \simeq R(G)$, called* ***locally constant principal bundles****. We denote the category of locally constant principal bundles by $\mathbf{lcPr}(M, G)$. When M is a manifold and G is a Lie group, a principal G-bundle is locally constant if and only if it is flat, i.e. it admits a connection with vanishing curvature (see [15, Prop.I.2.6]). In the case $\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{Hilb}$ we have locally constant Hilbert bundles, which are flat Hermitian bundles when the fibre is finite-dimensional ([15, Chp.I]). In this case it is easily proved that (A.1) preserves direct sums and tensor products.*

Combining (A.1) and Theorem 3.2 we obtain:

Theorem A.1. *Let M be a space with a good base Δ and G a topological group. Then for any category $\mathbf{C}$ there are equivalences*

$$\mathbf{bun}(\Delta, \mathbf{C}) \to \mathbf{lc}(M, \mathbf{C}) \quad , \quad \mathfrak{B} \mapsto (\mathcal{B}, X) \ , \tag{A.2}$$

$$\mathbf{Pr}(\Delta, G) \to \mathbf{lcPr}(M, G) \quad , \quad \mathfrak{P} \mapsto (\mathcal{P}, X) \ . \tag{A.3}$$

References

[1] R. Brunetti, G. Ruzzi: Quantum charges and spacetime topology: The emergence of new superselection sectors, to appear on Comm. Math. Phys., arXiv:0801.3365.

[2] R.Bott, L.W.Tu: Differential forms in Algebraic Topology, Springer-Verlag New York, Inc., 1982.

[3] J. Cheeger, J. Simons: Differential characters and geometric invariants, from: Geometry and Topology, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1167, Springer, Berlin (1985) 50-80.

[4] J. Cuntz: Simple C^*-algebras Generated by Isometries, Comm. Math. Phys. 57 (1977) 173-185.

[5] J. Dixmier: C^*-algebras, North-Holland Publishing Company, Amsterdam - New York . Oxford, 1977.

[6] S. Doplicher, J.E. Roberts: Duals of Compact Lie Groups Realized in the Cuntz Algebras and Their Actions on C^*-algebras, J. Funct. Anal. 74 (1987), 96-120.

[7] S. Doplicher, J.E. Roberts: A New Duality Theory for Compact Groups, Inventiones Mathematicae 98 (1989), 157-218.

[8] S. Doplicher, J.E. Roberts: Endomorphisms of C^*-algebras, Cross Products and Duality for Compact Groups, *Ann. Math.* **130** (1989), 75-119.

[9] S. Doplicher and J.E. Roberts: Why there is a field algebra with a compact gauge group describing the superselection structure in particle physics, Commun. Math. Phys. 131 (1990), 51-107.

[10] K. Fredenhagen: Generalizations of the theory of superselection sectors. The algebraic theory of superselection sectors (Palermo, 1989), 379–387, World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, 1990.

[11] R. Haag. Local Quantum Physics. Springer Texts and Monographs in Physics, 1996, 2nd edition.

[12] A. Hatcher: Algebraic Topology, Cambridge University Press, 2002.

[13] P.N. Hoffman, J.F.Humphreys: Projective representations of the symmetric groups, Oxford Science publications, 1992.

[14] D. Husemoller: Fiber Bundles, Mc Graw-Hill Series in Mathematics, 1966.

[15] S. Kobayashi: Differential Geometry of complex vector bundles, Princeton University Press, 1987.

[16] S. Kobayashi, K. Nomizu: Foundations of Differential Geometry, Vol.1,2, Interscience Publishers, New York - London - Sydney, 1969.

[17] R. Longo, J.E. Roberts: A Theory of Dimension, K-Theory 11 (1997), 103-159.

[18] S. Mac Lane: Categories for the working mathematician, Springer Verlag, Berlin - Heidelberg - New York, 1998.

[19] J.E. Roberts: More Lectures on Algebraic Quantum Field Theory, in Noncommutative geometry: lectures given at the C.I.M.E. summer school held in Martina Franca, Italy, Lecture notes in mathematics 1831, Springer-Verlag.

[20] J.E. Roberts, G. Ruzzi: A cohomological description of connections and curvature over posets, Theory and Applications of Categories, 16 (2006) 855–895

[21] J.E. Roberts, G. Ruzzi, E. Vasselli: A theory of bundles over posets, Adv. Math. 220 (2009) 125-153, arXiv:0707.0240.

[22] G. Ruzzi: Homotopy of posets, net-cohomology and superselection sectors in globally hyperbolic spacetimes, Rev.Math. Phys. 17(9) (2005) 1021-1070.

[23] G. Ruzzi, E. Vasselli: A new light on nets of C^*-algebras and their representations, Comm.Math.Phys.312 (2012) 655-694, arXiv:1005.3178;

Representations of nets of C^*-algebras over S1, Comm.Math.Phys. 316, 127–149 (2012), arXiv:1104.3527.

[24] E. Vasselli: Bundles of C^*-categories, II: C^*-dynamical systems and Dixmier-Douady invariants, J. Funct. Anal. 257 (2009) 357-387.

[25] E. Vasselli: Quantum fields in curved spacetimes and presheaves of superselection structures, arXiv:1211.1812.

[26] E. Vasselli: A theory of gerbes over posets, in preparation.